

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

- Original Updated
 Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number FH-17-09

Subject

Revisions to chs. NR 21 and 22 , Commercial Fishing on Wisconsin/Iowa and Wisconsin/ Minnesota boundary waters.

Fiscal Effect

- State: No State Fiscal Effect
 Indeterminate

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

- Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb within agency's budget.
 Yes No
 Decrease Costs

Local: No Local Government Costs

- Indeterminate

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Cities
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts |
|--|--|--|

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Rule Summary: The proposed rule creates several new definitions to define nets that are authorized for use in commercial fishing in Ch. 29, Wis. Stats., and in chs. NR 21 and 22, Wis. Adm. Code. These are not new types of nets, but are nets that have been licensed and legal to use for many years but simply never defined like other commercial nets have been defined.

This proposal will also correct a number of inconsistencies between NR 21 and NR 22 so that the rules which apply to commercial fishers on the Wisconsin waters of the Mississippi river will be more consistent.

This rule would allow the use of up to 12 setlines instead of only 8 setlines on the Wisconsin-Iowa boundary waters. This change would require the department to issue 4 additional setline tags to commercial anglers operating set lines on this part of the river. These tags cost the person 25 cents per tag at the time they purchase their setline license. The tags cost the department about 18.5 cents each to purchase. The increased cost to commercial fishermen requesting the additional 4 tags would be a total of \$1 per license holder. There were 135 setline licenses issued for use on the waters of the Mississippi river in 2009. It is likely that not more than half of these licensees fish on the Wisconsin-Iowa boundary waters and that the other half fish on the Wisconsin-Minnesota boundary waters. However, since the license holders would all be allowed to fish on the Wisconsin-Iowa boundary waters, this would mean a potential of approximately 135 individuals who might request the 4 additional setline tags. The department would expect some additional cost to mail the additional 4 metal tags to the licensee. This cost can likely be absorbed by the additional 26 cents (6.5 cents per tag over the DNR cost to purchase the tags) generated by the issuance of the tags.

135 Mississippi River Setline licenses X 4 additional Setline Tags X \$0.185/tag = \$99.90 additional cost to DNR for the tags.
 135 Mississippi River Setline licenses X 4 additional Setline Tags X \$0.25/tag = \$135.00 additional revenue to DNR for the tags.

The increased potential revenue (\$135) minus cost for DNR to purchase the tags (\$99.90) = \$35.10 net increase in revenue
 These would likely also be increased cost to the DNR to mail tags to the license applicants.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None anticipated.

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	04/26/2010

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number FH-17-09

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate – Continued

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session
 Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated
 Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number FH-17-09

Subject

Revisions to chs. NR 21 and 22 , Commercial Fishing on Wisconsin/Iowa and Wisconsin/ Minnesota boundary waters.

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations — Other Costs		100	-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
Total State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		100	-
State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
Total State Revenues		\$ 135	\$ -

Net Annualized Fiscal Impact

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
Net Change in Costs	\$ 100	\$
Net Change in Revenues	\$ 135	\$

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	04/26/2010