		LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No. ch. ATCP 30		
DOA-2048 (R 10/94) ZO OKIGINAL OPDATED Amendr		Amendment No. (If Applicable)		
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL				
Subject: Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Area				
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect	Increase Costs –			
Check below only if <b>bill</b> makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? Yes No			
Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Create Num Appropriation	Decrea	se Costs		
Local :	5. Types of	Local Gov. Unit Affected:		
No local government costs	Towns	Villages		
1.   Increase Costs   3.   Increase Revenues		Counties Cities		
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory		Other		
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenues		Districts		
Permissive         Mandatory         Permissive         Mandatory           Fund Source Affected:		Districts 20 Appropriations:		
$\Box$ GPR $\Box$ FED $\Box$ PRO $\Box$ PRS $\boxtimes$ SEG $\Box$ SEG-S	20.115(7)(r			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate				
State Government				
The rule will be administered by the Agricultural Resource Management Division of the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP). The following estimate is based on prohibiting the use of atrazine on approximately 8,640 extra acres of land in Wisconsin.				
Administration and enforcement of the proposal will involve new costs for the department. Specialist and field investigator staff time will be needed for inspections and enforcement in the additional prohibition area (0.1 FTE, costing approximately \$7,800). Enforcement activities will be conducted in conjunction with current compliance inspections, but at increased levels to ensure compliance within the prohibition area.				
Soil sampling conducted in the expanded prohibition area to determine compliance with the rules will require an estimated \$2,000 in analytical services.				
Total Annual Costs: \$9,800				
DATCP anticipates no additional costs for other state agencies. Water sampling programs within the Department of Natural Resources and local health agencies may receive short-term increased interest by individuals requesting samples.				
On Local Units of Government				
The rule does not mandate that local government resources be expended on sample collection, rule administration or enforcement. The rule is therefore not expected to have any fiscal impact on local units of government. County agricultural agents will likely receive requests for information on provisions of the rule and on weed control strategies with eliminated reliance on atrazine. This responsibility will probably be incorporated into current extension programs with no net fiscal impact. Long - Range Fiscal Implications				
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Authorized Signature/Telephone No DATCP		Date		

Bill Walker, 608-224-4353

Rick Graham

ph. 608-224-4502

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2009 SESSION		
Detailed Estimate of Annual ORIGINAL UPDATED	LRB or Bill No/Adm. R	ule No. Amendment No.	
Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94) CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	ch. ATCP 30		
SUBJECT			
Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Areas			
I. One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do no	tindudain annualized fi	and offert).	
•	n include in annualized in	scar effect):	
Costs are recurring; see below.			
II. Annualized Cost:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$7,800	<b>\$</b> - 0	
2. (FTE Position Changes)	(0.1 FTE)	(-0 FTE)	
3. State Operations - Other Costs	\$2,000	- 0	
4. Local Assistance	0	- 0	
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations	0	- 0	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$ 9,800	<b>\$</b> - 0	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
1. GPR	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> - 0	
2. FED	0	- 0	
3. PRO/PRS	0	- 0	
4. SEG/SEG-S	\$9,800	- 0	
III. State Revenues -	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue	
Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)			
GPR Taxes	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> - 0	
GPR Earned	0	- 0	
• FED	0	- 0	
• PRO/PRS	0	- 0	
• SEG/SEG-S	0	- 0	
TOTAL State Revenues	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> - 0	

## NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>9.800</u>	\$0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$_ <u>0</u>
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
DATCP		
Rick Graham, ph. 608-224-4502	Bill Walker, 608-224-4353	