STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPART MENT OF ADMINIST RATION DOA 2049 (R 07/2011)

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

| ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS   |    |  |
|--|----|--|
| Type of Estimate and Analysis  |    |  |
| ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected   |    |  |
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number  |    |  |
| TCS 2, District Board Member Appointments Subject  |    |  |
| Subject  |    |  |
| District Board Member Appointments   |    |  |
| Fund Sources Affected  |    | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected       |
| □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S  |    |  |
| Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule   |    |  |
| <ul><li>☑ No Fiscal Effect (for WTCS Board)</li><li>☑ Increase Existing Revenues</li><li>☑ Decrease Existing Revenues</li></ul>  |    | ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget            |
| Indeterminate  | es | Decrease Costs (for technical college districts) |
| Indeterminate  |    | Decrease costs (for teenmearconege districts)    |
| The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  |    |  |
| State's Economy  |    | eific Businesses/Sectors                         |
| Local Government Units   |    | ic Utility Rate Payers                           |
| Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  |    |  |
| ☐ Yes       No   |    |  |
| Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule   |    |  |
| Alignment of statutory and administrative rule provisions regarding the appointment of district board members.   |    |  |
| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) |    |  |
|  |    |  |
| None   |    |  |
| Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  |    |  |
| Alignment of statutory and administrative rule provisions regarding the appointment of district board members. Alternative would be to rely on statutory provisions.   |    |  |
| Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule   |    |  |
| Long Range implementing the Raic   |    |  |
| Alignment of statutory and administrative rule provisions regarding the appointment of district board members.   |    |  |
| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government   |    |  |
| Not applicable   |    |  |
| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  |    |  |
|  |    |  |
| Not applicable   |    |  |
| Name and Phone Number of Contact Person  |    |  |
| Morna Foy, 266-2449  |    |  |
|  |    |  |