

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Phar 7.01(1)(e)

3. Subject

Prescription drug delivery

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The current rule does not provide enough flexibility for patients to have their prescription medication delivered to a location of their choice due to the limitation of delivery only to the patient's residence.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None. This rule does not affect local governmental units.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. Delivery of prescriptions is already allowed by rule. The location of the delivery will not have an impact.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit of implementing the proposed rule would allow patients to have their prescriptions delivered to a location of their choice. In addition, pharmacies would no longer have to request the Pharmacy Examining Board to grant a delivery variance. The Pharmacy Examining Board has determined that permitting the delivery to a location of a patient's choice would be beneficial to patients and to pharmacies without negatively impacting public safety. When prescriptions are delivered, the prescription will be accompanied by appropriate directions and an indication that consultation is available by contacting the pharmacist.

The alternative to the proposed change is for the rule to remain as it currently is which would limit the delivery to a patient's residence only unless a variance is granted by the Pharmacy Examining Board.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication is patients will be better served by having their prescriptions delivered to more locations than just their place of residence and pharmacies will no longer have to request and wait for the next Board meeting in order to receive delivery variances.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Our four neighboring states do not indicate a limitation on delivery to only the patient's residence. In all four states, the consultation requirement is met by providing printed information, including directions on contacting the pharmacist by phone if a consultation is desired.

17. Contact Name
Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number
(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.