

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

SPS 128.04 (6)

3. Subject

Course Instructors

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165 (1) (g)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule seeks to expand the pool of course instructors eligible to provide continuing education courses to auctioneers in Wisconsin. Increasing the types of course instructors that can provide continuing education will alleviate the problem of unnecessarily excluding qualified instructors from providing their expertise in various fields to auctioneers in this state.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This proposed rule will primarily affect auctioneers and various professionals from a variety of disciplines. The rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from the public regarding the rule. No comments were received from the public regarding the rule.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will have no economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule would benefit auctioneers and the public by allowing experts in their respective fields to teach auctioneers about various topics related to auctioneering. By receiving this training, auctioneers will augment their skills and be better prepared to conduct auctions.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Broadening the knowledge base of Wisconsin auctioneers in various fields related to auctioneering.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: In Illinois auctioneers are regulated by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation, Division of Professional Regulation. Continuing education courses must be approved by the Division. Illinois further requires that continuing education must be, “developed and presented by persons with education and/or experience in subject matter of the CE course”. 68 Ill. Adm. Code 1440.310 (b) (8) (c).

Iowa: Iowa does not regulate continuing education requirements for auctioneers.

Michigan: Michigan does not regulate continuing education requirements for auctioneers.

Minnesota: Minnesota does not regulate the continuing education requirements for auctioneers.

17. Contact Name

Shawn Leatherwood

18. Contact Phone Number

608-261-4438

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-