1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Agency 145 Ch Ins 51.01 (4) (a) 2.		
3. Subject Risk Based Capital Requirements and affecting small business amendment beginning January 1, 2014.		
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected None	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	 Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost 	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) □ State's Economy ☑ Specific Businesses/Sectors □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers ☑ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule potentially results in an earlier warning that a company is approaching a financially hazardous condition. The proposed rule updates an existing regulation to be consistent with the NAIC model regulation and will also bring Wisconsin's requirements for life insurers into alignment with the requirements for health insurers and property and casualty insurers. 10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. OCI solicited comments generally through publication requesting comments from the public utilizing the OCI website. Additionally OCI solicited comments from the following businesses, associations representing businesses, local governmental units and individuals:		
Northwestern Mutual Trilogy Johnson Insurance Thrivent Network Health United HealthCare Group Health Cooperative of Eau Claire Group Health Cooperative of South Central Wisconsin HIRSP Arrowhead Strategies Capital Group of Wisconsin Wisconsin Health Plans Wisconsin Medical Society WPS Insurance Dean Health Plan Unity Health Plan		

TASC

Physicians Plus Insurance Corporation Wisconsin Hospital Association Gundersen Lutheran Wisconsin Dental Association Security Health Plan NAIFA Wisconsin Assurant Health Wisconsin Counties Group Health Trust Blue Cross Blue Shield of Wisconsin Ministry Health Care Humana Aurora Health Care Mayo Health Care WEA Trust Health Partners Mercy Health System WellPoint Arise Health Plan

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None beyond solitiation for comments.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule will affect domestic life and health insurers who complete a life annual statement, and domestic fraternal insurers. The impact is expected to be minimal. Non-insurance small businesses are protected by this proposed rule change as the amendment provides an earlier warning that a life insurer might be approaching a financially hazardous condition. There may be an effect on small businesses but any effect would be minimal as very few, if any, insurers meet the definition of a small business.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule potentially results in an earlier warning that a company is approaching a financially hazardous condition. The proposed rule updates an existing regulation to be consistent with the NAIC model regulation and will also bring Wisconsin's requirements for life insurers into alignment with the requirements for health insurers and property and casualty insurers. This change makes the application of standards uniform across lines of insurance minimizing disparate treatment of insurers.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long-range implications of the rule as proposed are: 1) earlier warning that a company is approaching a financially hazardous condition, 2) consistency in risk based capital requirements for life, health and property and casualty insurers, and 3) consistency with the NAIC model regulation for the purposes of maintaining accreditation for domestic insurers conducting business in other states.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

OCI is unaware of any existing or proposed federal regulations that are intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule change.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois and Iowa have laws comparable to Wisconsin's existing rule (215 ILCS 5/35A-15 (a) (1) (B) (2013) and IAC s. 521E.3 1. a. (2) (2013)). Michigan adopts the NAIC Model Law by reference (MCLS s. 50.1204a (2013)). Effective December 31, 2013, Minnesota will have a requirement comparable to Wisconsin's proposed rule (Minn. Stat. s. 60A.62 Subd. 1 (1) (ii) (2013)).

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Louie Cornelius	608-264-8113

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Non-insurance small businesses are protected by this proposed rule change as the amendment provides an earlier warning that a life insurer might be approaching a financially hazardous condition. There may be an effect on small businesses but any effect would be minimal as very few, if any, insurers meet the definition of a small business.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

Section 227.114, Wis. Stat., defines a "small business" as "a business entity, including its affiliates, which is independently owned and operated and not dominant in its field, and which employs 25 or fewer full-time employees or which has gross annual sales of less than \$5,000,000." OCI reviewed premium revenue for domestic life insurers and fraternals. Based on either too much revenue or the ownership structure, it appears that none of Wisconsin's insurers qualify as small businesses.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

N/A. Any effect of the proposed rule on small businesses will be minimal. The proposed rule nominally increases the risk based capital requirement and should not change any charging structures.

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

N/A. Any effect of the proposed rule on small businesses will be minimal.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The proposed rule nominally increases the risk based capital requirement for domestic life and health insurers who complete a life statement and domestic fraternal insurers. Failure to comply with the risk based capital requirement may be enforced by the Commissioner pursuant to ss. 601.41(4) and 601.64, Wis. Stat.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes ⊠ No