## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DE 9 Laboratories and Work Authorizations	
3. Subject Lab Work Authorizations	
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $20.165(1)(g)$
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
☑ No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
State's Economy	
	ic Utility Rate Payers
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The current rule relies on out-dated methods to request dental lab work; modern technology is used in the industry.	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Licensees and commercial dental laboratories	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None	
12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impacton Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
The proposed provisions are expected to make such requests more efficient, more accurate and less costly for small business.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed provisions are expected to make such lab requests more efficient, more accurate and less costlyfor small business. To do nothing relies on an outdated and possibly cumbersome process.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed provisions are expected to make such lab requests more efficient, more accurate and less costlyfor small business.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) For the four neighboring states, no specifications were found for dental work authorizations, either written or electronic.	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Jean MacCubbin	608.266.0955

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No