ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE						
AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS						
Type of Estimate and Analysis						
Original Updated Corrected						
Administrative Rule Chapter,	Title and Number					
Chapter Tax 11 – Sales and use tax						
Subject						
Sales tax filing frequency						
Fund Sources Affected			Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S						
Fiscal Effect of Implementing						
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Indeterminate	☐ Increase Existing Revenue ☐ Decrease Existing Revenue		⊠Increase Costs ⊠Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget			
	C		Decrease Costs			
	owing (Check All That Apply)					
State's Economy			ific Businesses/Sectors			
	ompliance Costs Be Greater Than		n?			
I Yes INO	1					
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule						
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy.						
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)						
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the proposed rule would decrease state sales and use taxes by \$1.7 million (non-recurring loss) in FY14 and decrease county and stadium sales and use taxes by \$140,000 (non-recurring loss) in FY14.						
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.						
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule						
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.						
If the rule is not implemented, Chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law or department policy.						
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule						
No long-range implications are anticipated.						
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government						
N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)						
N/A						
L						

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

		2013 06331011		
		LRB#		
ORIGINAL UPDA	ΈD	INTRODUCTION #		
CORRECTED SUPPL	EMENTAL	Admin rule #	Tax 11: Sales Tax Filing Frequency	
Subject	nt of Dovonue valati		fra	
Proposed order of the Departme	nt of Revenue relati	ng to sales tax filing	frequency	
iscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only sum sufficient appropriation		propriation or affects a	☑ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☑ Yes □ No	
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existi	ng Revenues	Within Agency's Dudget 24 res 1 No	
Decrease Existing Appropriation		ting Revenues		
Create New Appropriation			Decrease Costs	
ocal: 🛛 No Local Government Co	sts			
. 🔲 Increase Costs	ease Costs 3. Increase Revenues		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:	
Permissive Mandatory Permissiv		ssive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities	
. 🛛 Decrease Costs	4. 🛛 Decrease Revenues		Counties Others Stadium Districts	
🛛 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Permis	ssive 🛛 Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts	
und Sources Affected	I	Affected Ch. 2	0 Appropriations	
] GPR 🗌 FED 🗌 PRO 🗌 PF	S 🗆 SEG 🗆 SEG-;	S		

2013 Session

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule modifies Chapter TAX 11 of the Administrative Code regarding the requirements for quarterly and annual sales and use tax filing. Currently, a registered business with an annual sales and use tax liability not exceeding \$300 must only file sales and use tax returns on an annual basis. If the retailer prefers to file on a quarterly basis, it must contact the department. The proposal would increase the \$300 threshold to \$600, allowing more businesses to file sales and use tax returns on an annual basis. The change to the filing threshold would be effective January 1, 2014.

Based on information from state sales and use tax returns, the rule change is expected to affect 8,091 filers. While the proposal does not impact tax liabilities, sales tax returns for quarters ending March 31 and June 30 would be due Dec 31 of the next fiscal year. Assuming all affected businesses file on an annual basis, the proposal would result in a \$1.7 million non-recurring loss in FY14.

County and stadium sales and use taxes were 8.2% of state sales and use taxes in FY13. Assuming this does not change, county and stadium taxes would decrease by \$140,000 (non-recurring loss) in FY14.

ORIGINAL □ UPDATED LRB# Admin. Rule # Tax 11: Sales Tax **INTRODUCTION #** □ CORRECTED Filing Frequency □ SUPPLEMENTAL Subject Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to sales tax filing frequency I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): SEE TEXT II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: **Increased Costs Decreased Costs** A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe \$ \$ -(FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs Local Assistance _ Aids to Individuals or Organizations \$ \$ TOTAL State Costs by Category B. State Costs by Source of Funds Increased Costs **Decreased Costs** GPR \$ \$ FED _ PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S \$ III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state Increased Rev. Decreased Rev. revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) **GPR** Taxes \$ \$ · **GPR** Earned -FED PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S \$ **TOTAL State** Revenues \$ -NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT STATE LOCAL NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$ \$0 NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$ \$0 Agency/Prepared by Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Date Wisconsin Department of Revenue Wisconsin Department of Revenue Bob Schmidt **Bob Schmidt** 10/16/2013 608 266-5773 608 266-5773

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2013 Session

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET