

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

X Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

SPS 314, Fire Prevention

3. Subject

Fire Prevention

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED X PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues X Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues X Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes X No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The current rule adopts by reference the 2009 edition of the National Fire Protection Association's® NFPA® 1 fire prevention code. The proposed rule replaces the 2009 edition of NFPA 1 with the 2012 edition.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Representatives of school boards, building owners and managers, fire chiefs, firefighters, and fire inspectors.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Fire prevention efforts would be guided by more up-to-date standards and information. Leaving the rule reference out-of-date standards and information would potentially increase risks to public safety.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Fire losses would be reduced.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

17. Contact Name

Sam Rockweiler

18. Contact Phone Number

608-266-0797
