

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

CSB 3.045

---

3. Subject

Granting a limited special use authorization and denial of a special use authorization

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

---

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165(1)(g)

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect       Increase Existing Revenues       Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes       No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The current rules do not provide a basis for which the controlled substances board may exercise its discretion and deny a person a special use authorization or grant a limited special use authorization.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for economic comments for a period of 14 days and no comments were received.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

None.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit of implementing the rule is to give the applicant notice of the basis for which a denial or granting of a limited special use authorization may occur.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range benefit is for applicants to have notice of the basis for which a denial or limited special use authorization may occur.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal regulations require any person who possesses, manufactures, distributes or dispenses any controlled substances to register with the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control. A DEA registration may be denied for the following: providing false information on an application, conviction of a law relating to controlled substances, having a state license has been disciplined or has committed acts would render registration inconsistent with the public interest.

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota list reasons for a denial of a registration or license related to controlled

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

substances similar to Wisconsin's special use authorization permit.

---

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.