

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

NR 200, NR 201, NR 203, and NR 205

3. Subject

Regulatory requirements for the processing of WPDES permits

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

None

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The primary purpose of these rule additions and amendments is to establish clear regulatory requirements for the processing of WPDES permit. In a letter dated July 18, 2011, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified 75 potential issues with Wisconsin's statutory and regulatory authority for the WPDES permit program. EPA directed the department to either make rule changes to address this inconsistency or obtain a statement from the Attorney General's Office verifying that the existing rule is consistent with federal regulations. The department believes adoption of these rule changes (referred to as Rule Package 6) will address EPA's concerns for 13 of the issues.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

We do not anticipate that any of these groups will be economically affected by this rule package.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

Not applicable.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Not applicable. The requirements of this rule package are directed at the WDNR, and will not affect permit applicants.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The department will be in compliance with EPA regulations.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The department will be in compliance with EPA regulations and will maintain its regulatory authority for WPDES permit programs.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The department rules will be consistent with existing federal regulations with the revisions contained in this rule package. No proposed federal regulations are applicable; none were mentioned in EPA's letter containing the 75 issues the department needed to address.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
All the other U.S. EPA Region 5 states (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota and Ohio) are subject to the U.S. EPA regulations that are delegated to the states for implementation. Wisconsin's rules for permit processing and other permit issuance procedures should essentially be the same as the other states.

17. Contact Name

Paul W. Luebke

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-0234

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

None

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-