| ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE | |
|--|---|
| AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS | |
| Type of Estimate and Analysis | |
| □ Original | |
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number | |
| Ch. ATCP 104 Leaf Tobacco Buying and Selling | |
| Subject | |
| Leaf Tobacco Buying and Selling | |
| Fund Sources Affected | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected |
| □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S | |
| Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule | |
| ☑ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues | Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs |
| The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) | |
| □ State's Economy □ Specific Businesses/Sectors | |
| Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? | |
| \Box Yes \boxtimes No | |
| Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule | |
| The existing rule regulates certain transactions between tobacco growers and tobacco manufacturing or brokerage firms. However, the existing rule is limited to regulating cash-market transactions for tobacco leaves (which would occur after the crop is harvested). Wisconsin grown tobacco is no longer traded with this type of transaction. Instead, Wisconsin tobacco growers generally grow and sell on contracts that are negotiated before the growing season begins. Therefore, the existing rule is obsolete. | |
| This proposed rule would simply delete an outdated and obsolete chapter from the administrative code. | |
| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | |
| This proposed rule would simply delete an outdated and obsolete chapter from the administrative code. DATCP does not anticipate that it would have any economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole. | |
| Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule | |
| Benefits | |
| This proposed rule removes an obsolete and unneeded chapter from the Administrative Rules. | |
| | |
| | |

Alternatives

DATCP could leave ch. ATCP 104, Wis. Stats. in place. The rule is obsolete, and does not impose any costs or benefits on tobacco growers or tobacco buyers.

DATCP could also modify the rule to make it more relevant to contemporary leaf-tobacco transactions.

Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

See the discussions above.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no known federal statutes or regulations (existing or proposed) that are comparable to ch. ATCP 104, Wis. Adm. Code.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no known rules in adjacent states that are comparable to ch. ATCP 104, Wis. Adm. Code.

Comments Received in Response to Web Posting and DATCP Response

No comments were received in response either to the posting on the DATCP external website or the statewide administrative rules website. There were also no comments received at the public hearings.