

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

DE 14

3. Subject

Relating to informed consent

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The duty of certain health care professionals, other than physicians, to obtain informed consent from their patients before conducting treatment had not been codified as a statutory duty prior to the passage of 2013 Wisconsin Act 345. Act 345 sets forth the dentists' duty to obtain informed consent from their patients and institutes the reasonable dentist standard as the standard for informing patients regarding their treatment options. The reasonable dentist standard requires disclosure only of the information that a reasonable dentist would know and disclose under the circumstances.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, business sectors, associations representing businesses, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None. This rule does not affect local government units.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local government units, or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The benefit of the proposed rule is that it brings the Dentistry Examining Board administrative rules in compliance with 2013 Wisconsin Act 345.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing the rule is regulatory clarity for dentist license holders as the administrative code will align with the statutory requirements established in 2013 Wisconsin Act 345.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois Administrative Code is silent with regards to dentists' duty to obtain informed consent (68 Il. Admin. Code pt. 1220).

Iowa: Iowa Administrative Code regarding record keeping states that dental records must include, at a minimum, documentation of informed consent that includes a discussion of procedures, treatment options, potential complications, and known risks, and patient's consent to proceed with treatment (Iowa Admin. Code r. 650-27.11).

Michigan: Michigan Administrative Code is silent with regards to dentists' duty to obtain informed consent (Mich. Admin. Code r. 338.11101 - 338.11821).

Minnesota: Minnesota Administrative Code requires that dental records must include a notation that the dentist, advanced dental therapist, or dental therapist discussed with the patient the treatment options and the prognosis, benefits, and risks of each; and the patient has consented to the treatment chosen (Minn. R. 3100.9600 subp. 9).

<h4>17. Contact Name</h4> <p>Katie Vieira (Paff)</p>	<h4>18. Contact Phone Number</h4> <p>(608) 261-4472</p>
--	---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-