STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| Type of Estimate and Analysis ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Opt 2 | | |
| 3. Subject Organization of the Board | | |
| 4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S | 5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g) | |
| 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues | ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost | |
| 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers | | |
| Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No | | |
| 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This chapter contains requirements to use the latest copyright of Robert's Rules of Order to conduct business, hold elections, meeting once a year or upon call of the chairperson and antiquated procedures for membership in international association of boards and payment of fees for delegates. The repeal of this chapter removes duplicative and obsolete rules. 10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. | | |
| This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None | | |
| 12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule has no economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. | | |
| 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of the rule is to repeal the chapter which contains duplicative or obsolete provisions. The statutes provide for elections and frequency of meetings. The other provisions are unnecessary or antiquated procedures and do not reflect the operating process for memberships. | | |
| 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is not having rules which are unnecessary. | | |
| 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Governmen None | t | |
| 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) | | |

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Our surrounding states provide for the elections and meetings in statute and not in rule. None of our surrounding states have rules relating to the use of parliamentary procedure. Only Iowa addresses membership in the national organization and that is done by statute and not rule.

| 17. Contact Name | 18. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Sharon Henes | (608) 261-2377 |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

| Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) |
|---|
| 2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses |
| 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe: |
| 4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses |
| 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions |
| 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No |