

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
SPS 10

3. Subject
Optometrists Prescribing Hydrocodone Combination Products

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
---	---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
 No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
 State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
This rule will implement 2015 Wisconsin Act 34. Hydrocodone combination products were rescheduled to Schedule II controlled substances by the federal government effective October 6, 2014 and the Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board effective November 1, 2014. The scheduling change removed the ability for optometrists to prescribe hydrocodone combination products (due to a prohibition on prescribing schedule II). 2015 Act 34 specifically allows optometrists to prescribe hydrocodone combination products pursuant to promulgation of rules.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
This rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
None. This rule does not impact local governmental units.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
There is no economic and fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
The benefit is implementation of 2015 Act 34 to allow optometrists to prescribe hydrocodone combination products.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
The long range implication is optometrists will be able to resume prescribing a substance they were able to prescribe prior to the rescheduling in fall of 2014.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Our surrounding states allow the prescribing of hydrocodone combination products by optometrists.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-