ADMINISTRATIVE RULES			
FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS			
Type of Estimate and Analysis			
Original Updated Corrected			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number			
Section Tax 12.50 – Exempt solar and wind energy systems and Section Tax 20.01 to 20.03 School Levy Tax Credit, Lottery Fund.			
Subject			
Exempt solar and wind energy systems and School Levy Tax Credit, Lottery Fund			
Fund Sources Affected		Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG-S			
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule			
No Fiscal Effect	<ul> <li>Increase Existing Revenues</li> <li>Decrease Existing Revenues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> </ul>	
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)			
State's Economy   Specific Businesses/Sectors			
Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?			
$\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No			
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule			
The proposed rule will amend s. Tax 12.50 to reflect changes made to sec. 70.111 (18), Wis. Stats., by 2013 Wisconsin			
Act 20 and repeal ss. Tax 20.01 to 20.03 because 2013 Wisconsin Act 20 repealed DOR's rule-making authority under sec.			
73.03 (66), Wis. Stats.			
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local			
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, there is no fiscal effect due to the proposed rule.			
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule			
The proposed rule will amends the administrative code to reflect current law.			
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule			
No long-range implications are anticipated.			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
N/A			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
· · ·	DOR is not aware of a similar rule in an adjacent state.		