

Report From Agency

**STATE OF WISCONSIN
PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD**

**IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING :
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD : CR 16-079**

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS: n/a

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

The purpose of the rule is to implement 2015 Act 290 and create increased patient access and adherence to medications by allowing pharmacists to assist patients in the community with medications or in the institutional setting as part of the multidisciplinary care team.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Pharmacy Examining Board held a public hearing on January 17, 2017. The following people either testified at the hearing, or submitted written comments:

Danielle Laurent representing Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin
Susan Kleppin, representing Chartwell Midwest Wisconsin
Dan Fleischman
Kate Hartkopf, representing Ambulatory Care Pharmacy, UW Health Pharmacy Services

The Pharmacy Examining Board summarizes the comments received either by hearing testimony or by written submission as follows:

Multiple comments related to what constitutes a course of study. A related comment wanted to know if the course of study for vaccines would count toward the administration course of study.

One comment related to the plain language analysis referencing a student who completed their third year instead of second.

One comment suggested “a course of study and training offered by a school of pharmacy or continuing education provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education.”

The Pharmacy Examining Board explains modifications to its rule-making proposal prompted by public comments as follows:

The Pharmacy Examining Board created a section to specify the content that should be contained in a course of study. It also clarifies the course of study may include more than one class which will allow a pharmacist who has completed the course of study for vaccines to supplement with a class addressing the required content not included in the course of study for vaccines.

The Pharmacy Examining Board modified the plain language analysis to correctly reference a student who has completed their second year of school.

The Pharmacy Examining Board recognizes that the suggested language “offered by a school of pharmacy or continuing education provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education” may be more accurate, however, the Board chose to mirror the statutory language “conducted by a course provider approved by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education”.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

All of the recommendations suggested in the Clearinghouse Report have been accepted in whole.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

This rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses.