STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original Updated Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number EL Chapter 21, Practice and Procedure		
3. Subject The Wisconsin Elections Commission seeks to repeal EL Ch. 21 in its entirety.		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  X No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Local Government Units  Public Utility Rate Payers		
☐ Sma	Ill Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes X No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule relates to the issuance of advisory opinions which is covered by Wis. Stat. § 5.05(6a). The rule is no longer necessary and should be repealed.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
None		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  None		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Eliminate potential conflict in procedure between the rule and the statutes regarding the issuance of advisory opinions.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Eliminate potential conflict in procedure between the rule and the statutes regarding the issuance of advisory opinions.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government $N/A$ - $$ repeal		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) $N/A$ - repeal		
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number	
Nathan W. Judnic	608-267-0953	
1 TO STATE OF THE	000 207 0725	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

Summary of Rule's Economic and Fis cal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  NA
N/A
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses $N\!/\!A$
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
N/A
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
N/A
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes X No