

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
CSB 2.56

3. Subject
Scheduling 4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165(1)(g)
--	--

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost	
---	---	--

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers
	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration scheduled 4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl as a schedule I controlled substance effective May 3, 2017. The Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board took affirmative action on June 5, 2017 to similarly treat 4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl as a schedule I controlled substance effective June 12, 2017. The Board is currently promulgating a final rule.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
This rule will not have a fiscal or economic impact.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity and alleviate confusion. In addition, it is in the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to schedule opiate drugs as controlled substances.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl will be treated as a schedule I controlled substance.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
The federal government has scheduled 4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl as a schedule I controlled substance.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The neighboring states have not scheduled 4-Fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
------------------	--------------------------

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Sharon Henes

| (608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-