

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

A-E 3

3. Subject

Relating to Architect Registration

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The name of the Intern Architect Development Program is being replaced with the Architectural Experience Program, the new name for the same program adopted by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) in June 2016.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

This project updates the rule to reflect the program's name change, and adds language to provide clarity if the name changes again in the future.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The rule update will provide greater clarity for architect applicants.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Comparison with rules in adjacent states:

Illinois:

The Illinois Architecture Licensing Board updated Title 68, Section 1150.10, Illinois Administrative Code, to update the name of the Architect Experience Program, formerly known as the Intern Development Program. In the rule project, which became effective on April 7, 2017, Illinois did not change the title of “architectural intern.” However, the Illinois statutes state that an unlicensed person who has completed their education and is actively participating in professional training is an “architectural intern.” See 225 ILCS 305/4.

Iowa

On September 21, 2016, the Architectural Examining Board updated Chapter 193B, Iowa Administrative Code, to replace and clarify that the Intern Development Program has been renamed the Architectural Experience Program. During this rule project, the Architectural Examining Board did not change the designation of “Architectural Intern.”

Michigan

The Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Professional Licensing has a pending rule project (2015-086 LR) to update the rules relating to Architects to change the name of the professional training program from the Intern Development Program to the NCARB Architectural Experience Program, abbreviated as NCARB AXP. The rule update also uses the description of “internship program” and “internship” to describe the NCARB Architectural Experience Program.

Minnesota

The Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design (AELSLAGID) has a pending rule project to amend chapter 1800.1000, Minnesota Administrative Code. The project is to update the name of the Intern Development Program to Architectural Experience Program, which is incorporated by reference as part of the requirements for determining qualifying experience for the purposes of licensure. See R-04374. While the title “architectural intern” is not listed in statute or rule, the Board has issued a position statement identifying “architectural designer,” “architectural intern,” or other “architectural” derivatives as legal titles under existing law and statute. See “Suitable Titles for Architectural Interns,” mn.gov/aelslagid.

17. Contact Name

Helen Leong, Administrative Rules Coordinator

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-0797

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-