

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 07/02/2018
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DHS 146	
4. Subject Vaccine-Preventable Diseases	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected N/A
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The department is charged with carrying out a statewide immunization program. Essential to this charge of protecting individuals from vaccine preventable diseases is the ability to purchase vaccines, should federal or state funds be available. These vaccines are then made available, free of charge, to eligible individuals through the local health departments and tribal health clinics, as well as health care providers throughout the state who participate in the Vaccines for Children ("VFC") Program.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The department formed an Advisory Committee consisting of representatives from the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Wisconsin Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, Wisconsin Department of Health Services Medicaid Program, Wisconsin Association of Local Health Departments and Boards, Wisconsin Academy of Family Physicians, Wisconsin Association of School Nurses, Wisconsin Medical Society, and Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin. Proposed rule revision language was drafted based on the recommendations of this committee. All advisory committee meetings were held as open meetings. They were informed via email when the proposed rule order was made available to the public.  The department also published a solicitation in the Administrative Register, requesting information and advice from businesses, associations representing businesses, local governmental units, and individuals who may be affected by the proposed rules. All comments received by the department, were used to analyze and determine the economic impact that the rules would have on businesses, individuals, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, and the state's economy as a whole.  The department also sent out a GovD email update informing immunization stakeholders of the proposed rule order and solicitation for public comments. Immunization stakeholders include, but are not limited to, local health departments,	

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

tribes, health care providers enrolled in the VFC program, and health care providers and staff who have self selected to be on the immunization email list serv.

---

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  
See 12., above.

---

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

There are no implementation or fiscal impacts on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units and the state's economy as a whole. There are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred from this rule change.

---

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

No reasonable alternatives exist to the rulemaking. Without proposed revisions to Chapter DHS 146, the vaccines provided to Wisconsin local health departments and tribes, and VFC providers will be outdated and not in accordance with the latest national recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, failing to reflect current, national practices in public health and clinical medicine.

---

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Proposed changes will decrease communicable diseases by being able to purchase vaccines that are in line with current Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommendations.

---

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no known federal approaches that address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rules.

---

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

States generally receive and distribute vaccines through the VFC Program and the utilization of Section 317 funds. The VFC Program is a federally funded program that provides vaccines at no cost to children who might not otherwise be vaccinated because of inability to pay. CDC purchases vaccines and distributes them to grantees (i.e., state health departments and certain local and territorial public health agencies) which in turn distribute them at no charge to those private physicians' offices and public health clinics registered as VFC providers. Children who are eligible for VFC vaccines are entitled to receive those vaccines recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. In addition to VFC authority of states to receive and distribute vaccines, Section 317 funds of the Public Health Services Act give states authority to receive and distribute vaccines for eligible children and adults.

---

19. Contact Name

Stephanie Schauer

20. Contact Phone Number

608-264-9884

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-