ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapter Trans 330 - MOTOR BUS EQUIPMENT AND INSPECTION		
3. Subject Update Chapter Trans 330 to meet Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSR.)		
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	 Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost 	
Local Government Units Publ	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers II Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Update current language to meet Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) 2009 Audit		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. None.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule change will not have any economic impact on any entity in WI. No cost will be incurred.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule This proposed rule will be less restrictive then as currently written. The rule as proposed will also give a clearer understanding of the regulations to industries which choose to use a converted school bus as a motor bus to transport passengers without compromising safety. If this rule is not implemented the Division of State of Patrol would be in jeopardy of not receiving full Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) grant funds awarded to the state from FMCSA.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Allowing industry more options to provide passenger transportation.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government These rule changes are to simply comply with current language used by the Federal Government.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) All states are required to comply with the rule changes being proposed.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Captain Brian Ausloos	608-709-0070

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No