

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

DCF 152, Child Support Administrative Enforcement

3. Subject

Administrative Enforcement of Interest on Child Support

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

It eliminates public confusion over not enforcing interest debts in the same manner as other support debts.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Contacted the following trade organizations: Wisconsin Auto and Truck Dealer Association, Wisconsin Land Title Association, Wisconsin Realtors Association, Wisconsin Bankers Association and Wisconsin Credit Union League. Contacted the following advocacy and policy organizations: Legal Action of Wisconsin, Center for Family Policy and Practice, Dads of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Fathers for Children and Families, National Parents Organization of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Coalition Against Domestic Violence, ABC for Health, Inc., Wisconsin Council on Children and Families, Wisconsin Child Support Enforcement Association, Wisconsin State Bar Family Law Section, Wisconsin Women's Council, Wisconsin Women's Network. Contacted the judiciary through the Office of State Courts and the Wisconsin Family Court Commissioners Association.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

Local child support agencies through the Wisconsin Child Support Enforcement Association (WCSEA)

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Auto dealers, financial institutions, and title companies may be impacted by an increased volume of administrative liens, however the reduced complexity of paying off liens will ease and expedite transactions.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Increase the ease and cost effectiveness of enforcing interest debts by including them as administrative lien eligible. Reduce the burden on courts by providing administrative enforcement tools. Lessen business and public confusion regarding how administrative liens are satisfied.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Lessen confusion and frustration over paying off child support liens, greater rate of collection on support debts, and ability to close cases sooner due to increase in enforcement tools.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Not applicable as the federal government has delegated this to the states. Wisconsin's administrative lien docket is viewed as a national "best practice" for all state child support agencies. Enhancing it this manner increases its effectiveness.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The adjacent states do not use a lien docket in the same manner as Wisconsin, so it is unclear whether interest is included in the unpaid support subject to administrative enforcement.

17. Contact Name Katherine L. Marek	18. Contact Phone Number 608 422-6213
--	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

not applicable

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

not applicable

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

not applicable

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

not applicable

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

not applicable

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
