ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	May 10, 2019	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearing	ghouse Number if applicable)	
Chapter Tax 11.97 – "Engaged in business" in Wisconsin		
4. Subject		
Describes activities that require out-of-state retailers to collect a	and remit Wisconsin sales and use tax.	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected S	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
 ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues 	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
Local Government Units	Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, 227.137(3)(b)(1).	Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers.	
\$ None		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes	Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
This rule order repeals sec. Tax 11.97, Adm. Code, which desc and collect Wisconsin sales and use tax. This section of the Ad Wisconsin Act 368.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Association Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that		
Wisconsin Taxation Committee (WICPA), Wisconsin Manufactu Accountants (WAA), Tax Executives Institute (TEI), Independe Independent Businesses (WIB), National Federal of Independe National Association of Computerized Tax Processors (NACTP	nt Business Association of Wisconsin (IBAW), Wisconsin nt Businesses (NFIB), Council on State Taxation (COST), and	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in th	e Development of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a W Expected to be Incurred)		
There is no fiscal effect for the proposed rule as the state statutes carry the same requirements as the rule.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Imp	lementing the Rule	

This section of the Admin. Code is superseded by Wayfair and duplicative of current statutes.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Since this section of the Admin. Code is superseded by *Wayfair* there are no long range implications of repealing sec. Tax 11.97.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Adjacent states have implemented the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Wayfair* through legislation and administrative policy. Minnesota had an existing 1989 law that became effective due to the Court's decision in *Wayfair*. North Dakota enacted an economic nexus law for remote sellers prior to the *Wayfair* decision. Michigan issued an administrative bulletin to address economic nexus standards consistent with the *Wayfair* decision. All three states required remote sellers to begin collecting tax on October 1, 2018. Iowa enacted legislation prior to the *Wayfair* decision, although the legislation effective date and remote seller required collection date was January 1, 2019.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jen Chadwick	608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

		LRB#	
ORIGINAL UPDATED			1#
	MENTAL Admin. Rule # 1		11.97
Subject			
This rule repeals Tax 11.97 relat	ting to sales and us	se tax provisions for ou	it-of-state retailers.
Fiscal Effect			
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation		 Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No 	
Increase Existing Appropriation	n 🔲 Increase Existing Revenues		
Decrease Existing Appropriation	Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues		
Create New Appropriation			
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs			
1. 🔲 Increase Costs	3. 🗆 Increase	Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
🗋 Permissive 🗖 Mandatory	Permis	ssive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease	e Revenues	Counties Others
🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Permis	ssive 🗆 Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected	nd Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations		
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEG-	S	

2017 Session

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

This rule order repeals sec. Tax 11.97, Adm. Code, which describes activities that requires out-of-state retailers to register and collect Wisconsin sales and use tax. This section of the Admin. Code is superseded by Wayfair and enactment of 2017 Wisconsin Act 368. Therefore, there is no effect on small business.

There is no fiscal effect for the proposed rule as the state statutes carry the same requirements as the rule.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by:	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Wisconsin Department of Revenue	
Travis Arthur	Jamie Adams	3-19-2019
(608) 266-8565	(608) 266-6785	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

UPDATED

□ SUPPLEMENTAL

🖾 ORIG	SINAL
--------	-------

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

INTRODUCTION

2017 Session Admin. Rule # 11.97

CORRECTED Subject

This rule repeals Tax 11.97 relating to sales and use tax provisions for out-of-state retailers.

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease stare venues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	ate Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>L(</u>	OCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$0	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$0	
Agency/Prepared by: Wisconsin Department of Revenue Travis Arthur	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams		Date 3-19-2019
(608) 266-8565	(608) 266-6785		