STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	November 21, 2019	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CB 2		
4. Subject Maintenance of burial records		
5. Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public	fic Businesses/Sectors Utility Rate Payers Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐ Yes ☒ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule brings ch. CB 2 into compliance with state law requiring that the Board set a minimum time period for which a cemetery authority must retain burial records. The rule project amends s. CB 2.06 to require that a cemetery authority retain burial records indefinitely.		
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.  The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services's website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  The benefit of implementing the rule is to bring CB 2 into conformity with the statutory requirement that a minimum time period be set for which a cemetery authority must retain burial records. The alternative of implementing the rule is to leave the rules out of compliance with state statute, or to implement a rule requiring a shorter retention period. In the view of the board, it is reasonable to require a cemetery authority to retain indefinitely a record of the individuals buried within its cemetery.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is that cemeters burial records.	y authorities will have clarity as to how long they must retain	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal government does not set requirements for how long buriel.

The federal government does not set requirements for how long burial records must be retained.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois requires cemetery authorities to keep a record of every interment, entombment, and inurnment. The record must include the deceased's name, age, date of burial, and the specific location of the interred, entombed, or inurned human remains. (225 ILCS 411/20-5 (d)). Neither statute nor rule specifies the length of time that the record must be retained for.

Iowa: Iowa requires cemeteries to keep a record of each interment in a cemetery, including the date the remains were interred, the name, date of birth, date of death, and a unique identifier for the location of the interment space. There is no specification regarding how long these records must be maintained. (Iowa Stats. s. 523I.311).

Michigan: Michigan does not appear to require that cemeteries maintain burial records.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires cemeteries to keep a register of burials including the date of each burial, entombment, or cremation, and the name, age, sex, nativity, and cause of death of every person interred or cremated in the cemetery. There is no specification regarding how long these records must be maintained. (Minn. Stats. s. 306.03).

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jon Derenne, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-0955

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No