

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis</b><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected   | <b>2. Date</b><br>December 9, 2019                                   |
| <b>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)</b><br>CSB 4   |  |
| <b>4. Subject</b><br>Operation of PDMP  |  |
| <b>5. Fund Sources Affected</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S   | <b>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</b><br>20.165(1)(m) |
| <b>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs<br><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  |  |
| <b>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors<br><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>   |  |
| <b>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</b><br>\$0.00   |  |
| <b>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |  |
| <b>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</b><br>The proposed rule clarifies and updates minor provisions based upon stakeholder feedback including the following: clarifying disclosure of PDMP data for public health and research purposes, creating a provision to allow for disclosure of audit trails to designated staff of a federal or state governmental agency, and adding "partial fill indicator" and "drug dosage units" to the list of required submissions. |  |
| <b>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</b><br>This proposed rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days and none were received.  |  |
| <b>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.</b><br>None  |  |
| <b>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</b><br>There is no economic or fiscal impact. Pharmacies are already submitting the information.  |  |
| <b>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule</b><br>The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and meeting stakeholder recommendations.  |  |
| <b>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</b><br>Updates to the rule ensures the chapter is statutorily compliant and current with professional standards and practices.  |  |
| <b>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</b><br>None.  |  |
| <b>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)</b><br>Illinois: Illinois does not require submission of the drug dosage unit or partial fill indicator. Illinois does not authorize access for practitioner scientific research.  |  |

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa does not require submission of the drug dosage unit or partial fill indicator. Iowa does not authorize access for practitioner scientific research. Summary, statistical, or aggregate data to public or private entities for statistical, public research, public policy, or educational purposes.

Michigan: Michigan does not require submission of drug dosage units or partial fill indicator. Data may be provided to employees or agents of the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs. Michigan does not authorize access for scientific research.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires the submission of drug dosage units and partial fill indicator. Personnel of the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy may have access to audit trails. Minnesota does not authorize access for scientific research.

---

19. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

20. Contact Phone Number

608-261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-