

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date November 29, 2019
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter Tax 1 – Conviction Predeterminations	
4. Subject The objective of the rule is to implement 2017 Wisconsin Act 278 in order to implement uniform procedures for making predeterminations of whether an individual would be disqualified from obtaining a license due to his or her conviction records prior to that individual submitting a full application for licensure.	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$ 0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect the statutory change provided by 2017 Wisconsin Act 278. The proposed rule order makes no policy or other changes.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. A comment period was identified for economic impact and the public was notified. The comment period lasted from November 11, 2019 through November 25, 2019. No specific businesses were contacted.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No impact is anticipated.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule 2017 Wisconsin Act 278 provides for an individual to obtain a predetermination on whether they would be disqualified from obtaining a license due to conviction records prior to submitting a full application for licensure. The department has created	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

this proposed rule order to reflect this statutory change.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name

Jen Chadwick

20. Contact Phone Number

608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2019 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB #
INTRODUCTION #
Admin. Rule # Tax 1.18

Subject

This rule order is relating to requests for predeterminations regarding license eligibility due to conviction records.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect
 Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation

<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation		

Local:

No Local Government Costs

1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____ <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The objective of the rule is to implement 2017 Wisconsin Act 278 in order to implement uniform procedures for making predeterminations of whether an individual would be disqualified from obtaining a license due to his or her conviction records prior to that individual submitting a full application for licensure. The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect this statutory change.

There is no fiscal effect for the proposed rule.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6875	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785	Date 6/24/2019
---	---	--------------------------

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2019 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB #	Tax 1.18
INTRODUCTION	

Subject

This rule order is relating to requests for predeterminations regarding license eligibility due to conviction records.

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$ 0

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6875	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785	Date 6-24-2019
---	---	--------------------------