

Report From Agency

**STATE OF WISCONSIN
PODIATRY AFFILIATED CREDENTIALING BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : CR 20-017
PODIATRY AFFILIATED :
CREDENTIALING BOARD :

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

Section Pod 3.01 (1m) is created to define requirements for the completion of continuing education hours related to prescribing controlled substances for the renewal date occurring on October 31, 2022.

Section Pod 3.02 (1) (e) is revised to reflect that the Wisconsin Society of Podiatric Medicine has changed its name to the Wisconsin Podiatric Medical Association.

Section Pod 3.04 is revised to reflect s. 440.03 (4m), Stats., as created by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. Under this provision, the Board may require a credential holder to submit proof of completion of continuing education programs or courses only if a complaint is made against the credential holder.

The proposed rules also revise the provisions in ss. Pod 3.03 (2) and 3.04 to provide a consistent standard for the retention of evidence of completion of continuing education requirements, and make changes throughout the remainder of ch. Pod 3 to provide clarity and conform to current standards for drafting administrative rules.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Podiatry Affiliated Credentialing Board held a public hearing on June 11, 2020. The Board did not receive any public comments.

VI. RESPONSE TO MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

The proposed rule was submitted to the Medical Examining Board on December 19, 2018. The Medical Examining Board had no recommendations concerning the proposed rule.

The Legislative Council had no recommendations concerning the proposed rule.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A