ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
⊠ Original □ Updated □ Corrected	April 6, 2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghou	-
Chapter Tax 11 – Update for 2017 Acts	
4. Subject	
2015 Wisconsin Act 126, 2015 Wisconsin Act 170, 2017 Wisconsin 2017 Wisconsin Act 231, and 2017 Wisconsin Act 290 made nume	
The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect thes clarification and correction.	e statutory changes as well as provide needed
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
☑ No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Decrease Costs
	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
-	ific Businesses/Sectors
	c Utility Rate Payers
	Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
 Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca 227.137(3)(b)(1). 	al Governmental Units and Individua Is, per s.
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	al Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
This rule makes changes to reflect current law and current departm proposed rule.	ent policy, therefore, there is no fiscal effect for the
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Re Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were	
A comment period was identified for economic impact and the publi 2020 through March 20, 2020 and no comments were received. The were received:	
WICPA – Wisconsin Taxation Committee	
WMC – Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce	
WAA - Wisconsin Association of Accountants	
TEI - Tax Executives Institute	
IBAW – Independent Business Association of Wisconsin	

- WIB Wisconsin Independent Businesses
- NFIB National Federation of Independent Business
- COST Council on State Taxation

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

NACTP - National Association of Computerized Tax Processors

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.

No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.

14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The rule does not create any fiscal or economic impact on businesses, including small businesses. The rule is being updated to reflect current law; therefore any fiscal or economic impact is a result of the law and not this rule.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect statutory changes, as well as provide needed clarification and correction.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The passage of the permanent Internet Tax Freedom Act, as part of Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 (P.L. 114–125) on February 24, 2016, prohibited states like Wisconsin, that were grandfathered in temporary versions, from imposing sales taxon internet access services by July 1, 2020. Wisconsin law was revised to reflect this federal preemption effective July 1, 2020. There is no other existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the rule.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jen Chadwick	608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The rule does not create any fiscal or economic impact on businesses, including small businesses. The rule is being updated to reflect current law; therefore any fiscal or economic impact is a result of the law and not this rule.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes ☑ No

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2019 Session

		LRB#
ORIGINAL	UPDATED	INTRODUCTION #
	SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule # to be assigned

Subject

This rule proposes an order to: repeal Tax 11.26 (3) (g), 11.39 (4) (b), (1), (2), (4), and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.05 (3) (u) and (Note) and (intro), (b) (intro) and 6. (title) and a., (c), and (d), and (7) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.16 (1) (h) and (3) (c) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.17 (4) (b) 6 (h) (Note 1), 11.33 (4) (f), (Example 1), and (Example 2) and (5) (f) (Note and (3) (b) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.52 (7) (a) and (Note 1) and (Note (1) and (2) (a), 11.57 (2) (i) and (6) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.62 (2) (b) and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.66 (4) (b) and (6) (Note 1) and (Note 1) and (Note 1) and (Note 1) and (Example 2), (9) (a) and (Example 1), and (13) (Note 1) and (Note 1) and (Example 2), (9) (a) and (Example 1), and (13) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.87 (4) (Note 2), 11.92 (1) (b) and (7) (b) (Note 1), and 11.985 (3) (4) (i); create Tax 11.04 (1) (a) 9., 11.05 (4) (a) 8. and (bg), 11.12 (1) 11.52 (5m) and (7) (e), 11.57 (2) (r), 11.66 (2) (c) (Note), 11.68 (4) (j) a (Example), 11.86 (5) (d), 11.87 (3) (h), and 11.92 (1) (bg).	d (4) (a) and (f) (Note 2), 11.12 (1), (2) (f), (4) (a) d (Note 2), 11.14 (12) (d) and (16) and (Note 1) and 5. and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 4), 11.19 (6), 11.26 (3) ote 2), 11.48 (3) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.49 (2) (b) 2), 11.53 (3) and (7) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.55) and (3) (d) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.65 (1) (c), (3), 3), 11.68 (7) (b) 1., 2., and 3. (intro.) and (Example ote 2), 11.83 (4) (c), 11.86 (6) (Note 1) and (Note) (b) and (Note 1); repeal and recreate Tax 11.68 (am), 11.17 (4) (a) 4., 11.48 (2) (f), 11.49 (2) (bg),	
Fiscal Effect State: 図 No State Fiscal Effect		
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects sum sufficient appropriation	a Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Yes □ No	
□ Increase Existing Appropriation □ Increase Existing Revenues		
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues		
Create New Appropriation	Decrease Costs	
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs		
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:	
Permissive I Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities	
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenues	Counties Others	
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch	. 20 Appropriations	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:		

2015 Wisconsin Act 126, 2015 Wisconsin Act 170, 2017 Wisconsin Act 17, 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, 2017 Wisconsin Act 190, 2017 Wisconsin Act 231, and 2017 Wisconsin Act 290 made numerous changes to Wisconsin's sales and use tax laws.

The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect these statutory changes as well as provide needed clarification and correction as described above.

This rule makes changes to reflect current law and current department policy, therefore, there is no fiscal effect for the proposed rule.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Date	

1-20-2020

FISCAL ESTIM	IATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	2019 Session
🛛 ORIGINAL		LRB#	Admin. Rule #
	ED SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION	to be assigned

Subject

This rule proposes an order to: **repeal** Tax 11.26 (3) (g), 11.39 (4) (b), and 11.68 (9) (a) (Example 2); **amend** Tax 11.04 (1), (2), (4), and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.05 (3) (u) and (Note) and (4) (a) and (f) (Note 2), 11.12 (1), (2) (f), (4) (a) (intro), (b) (intro) and 6. (title) and a., (c), and (d), and (7) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.14 (12) (d) and (16) and (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.16 (1) (h) and (3) (c) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.17 (4) (b) 6. and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 4), 11.19 (6), 11.26 (3) (h) (Note 1), 11.33 (4) (f), (Example 1), and (Example 2) and (5) (f) (Note 2), 11.48 (3) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.49 (2) (b) and (3) (b) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.52 (7) (a) and (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.53 (3) and (7) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.55 (1) and (2) (a), 11.57 (2) (i) and (6) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.62 (2) (b) and (3) (d) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.65 (1) (c), (3), and (5) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.66 (4) (b) and (6) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.83 (4) (c), 11.86 (6) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.87 (4) (Note 2), 11.92 (1) (b) and (7) (b) (Note 1), and (Note 2), 11.83 (4) (c), 11.86 (6) (Note 1) and (Note 2), 11.87 (4) (Note 2), 11.92 (1) (b) and (7) (b) (Note 1), and 11.985 (3) (b) and (Note 1); **repeal and recreate** Tax 11.68 (4) (i); **create** Tax 11.04 (1) (a) 9, 11.05 (4) (a) 8. and (bg), 11.12 (1) (am), 11.17 (4) (a) 4., 11.48 (2) (f), 11.49 (2) (bg), 11.52 (5m) and (7) (e), 11.57 (2) (r), 11.66 (2) (c) (Note), 11.68 (4) (j) and (7) (b) 2g., 2h., 2r., and 3. a. and b. and (Example), 11.86 (5) (d), 11.87 (3) (h), and 11.92 (1) (bg).

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impa	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs		-	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ -	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	STATE		LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$ O	
NET CHANGE IN REV ENUES	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Wisconsin Department of Revenue Travis Arthur	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams		Date 1-20-2020
(608) 266-8565	(608) 266-6785		