

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 8/19/2020</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter PSC 118</p>	
<p>4. Subject This rulemaking addresses one area: allowing an electric provider, or customer or member of an electric provider, to create a renewable resource credit for heat that is a byproduct of a manufacturing process and is used to provide thermal energy for another purpose. The definitions under PSC 118.02(5g) will be updated to align with recent Wisconsin State Statute amendments.</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected N/A</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$None</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule making amends relevant sections of ch. PSC 118 to conform with 2017 Wisconsin Act 53.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Commission issued a Notice of Preliminary Hearing and Comment Period on May 16, 2019. Potential affected entities were notified, including all electric utilities, Citizens' Utility Board, Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, and RENEW Wisconsin. Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group and Wisconsin Paper Council filed joint comments in support of 2017 Wisconsin Act 53, as well as support of the Commission's rulemaking in this docket.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. Commission staff did not hold a preconference with local government units before drafting this Economic Impact Analysis. Request for comment on this draft Economic Impact Analysis stayed open until comments were due as noted in the comment letter, and local governmental units and other interested parties were encouraged to submit comments.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None or minimal. Any economic impact occurred when the statutes were passed. This rulemaking just brings the rules into compliance.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule This will bring the rules into conformity with the statutes, and no additional benefits were identified.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Keeps the rules in conformity with the statutes.</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The federal government does not have a similar program.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
No neighboring states have Renewable Portfolio Standard rules similar to that statutory changes allowed by
2017 Wisconsin Act 53.

19. Contact Name Jenna Schmidt	20. Contact Phone Number 608-267-7709
-----------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

N/A

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
