

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<b>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	<b>2. Date</b> 4/9/2021
<b>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)</b> SPS 85 to 87	
<b>4. Subject</b> Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP)	
<b>5. Fund Sources Affected</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	<b>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</b>
<b>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
<b>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>	
<b>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</b> \$0	
<b>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</b> Section SPS 85.115 is updated to incorporate by reference into chs. SPS 85 to 87 the 2022-2023 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice issued by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation (2022-2023 USPAP). It is anticipated the 2022-2023 USPAP will be finalized in October 2021, at which time it will be reviewed by the Attorney General as required under s. 227.21 (2), Stats.	
<b>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</b> The EIA was posted for 14 days and no comments were received.	
<b>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.</b> None.	
<b>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</b> The proposed rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units and the state's economy as a whole.	
<b>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule</b> The benefit to implementing the rule is maintaining current standards for professional appraisal practice. If the rule is not implemented, the standards in chs. SPS 85 to 87 will become outdated.	
<b>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</b> The long range implication of implementing the rule is maintaining current standards for professional appraisal practice.	
<b>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</b> None	

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation address the practice of real estate appraisal in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1455). The rules incorporate by reference with no later amendments or editions the 2020-2021 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice issued by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation [68 Ill. Adm. Code 1455.240].

Iowa: Rules of the Iowa Real Estate Appraiser Examining Board address the practice of real estate appraisal in Iowa [193F IAC 1 to 17]. The rules provide the Board has adopted the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice issued by The Appraisal Foundation [193F IAC 1.1(1)].

Michigan: Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs address the practice of real estate appraisal in Michigan [Mich Admin Code, R 339.23101 to R 339.23405]. The rules reference the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and specifically provide [Mich Admin Code, R 339.23104] the following individuals are exempt from the appraisal review and development requirements of Standard 3:

- A member of the Board of Real Estate Appraisers who is performing an investigation or testifying at an adjudicatory hearing on behalf of the Department.
- A member of the Board of Real Estate Appraisers who is serving in the capacity of a reviewer while reviewing the work experience of an applicant for licensure.
- An investigator employed by or retained by the Department who is performing an investigation or testifying at an adjudicatory hearing.

Minnesota: The Minnesota Statutes, Sections 82B.021 to 82B.24, address the practice of real estate appraisal in Minnesota. The statutes define “standards of professional practice” to mean the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisers Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation in effect as of January 1, 1991, or other version of the standards designated by the Commissioner of the Department of Commerce (Minnesota Statutes, Section 82B.021, Subdivision 26).

---

19. Contact Name

Kassandra Walbrun

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4463

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

### ATTACHMENT A

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-