1. Type of Estimate and Analysis       2. Date         Ø Original       Updated       Corrected       7/15/20         3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)       Ch. NR. 10, Game and Hunting, WM-20-20         4. Subject       Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected       None         7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule       None       Decrease Costs       Decrease Costs         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       Specific Businesses/Sectors       Decrease Costs       Decrease Costs         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).       \$ 0 (No implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?					
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)         Ch. NR. 10, Game and Hunting, WM-20-20         4. Subject         Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.         5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         GPR       FED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG         7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule       Increase Costs       Decrease Costs         Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).         \$ 0 (No implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
Ch. NR. 10, Game and Hunting, WM-20-20         4. Subject         Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.         5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         GPR       FED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG         7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule       Increase Existing Revenues       Increase Costs       Decrease Costs         Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).         \$ 0 (No implementation and compliance costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	☑ Original		7/15/20		
4. Subject         Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.         5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         □ GPR       □ FED       □ PRO       □ PRS       □ SEG       SEG-S         7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule       □ Increase Existing Revenues       □ Increase Costs       □ Decrease Costs         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues       □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       □ State's Economy       □ Specific Businesses/Sectors         □ Local Government Units       □ Public Utility Rate Payers       □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).       \$ 0 (No implementation and compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over					
Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.         5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         GPR       FED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG-S         7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule       None       Decrease Existing Revenues       Decrease Costs       Decrease Costs         Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget       Decrease Costs         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).         \$0 (No implementation and compliance costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	Ch. NR. 10, Game and Hunting, WM-20-20				
5. Fund Sources Affected   GPR   FED   PRO   PRS   SEG   Seg <t< td=""><td colspan="5">4. Subject</td></t<>	4. Subject				
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S None   7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule   No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Decrease Costs   Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget   8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)   State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors   Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers   Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)   9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).   \$ 0 (No implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	Establishing the 2021 migratory bird season framework and regulations.				
<ul> <li>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</li> <li>No Fiscal Effect   Increase Existing Revenues   Increase Costs   Decrease Costs</li> <li>Indeterminate   Decrease Existing Revenues   Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> <li>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</li> <li>State's Economy   Specific Businesses/Sectors</li> <li>Local Government Units   Public Utility Rate Payers</li> <li>Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</li> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
Image: No Fiscal Effect       Increase Existing Revenues       Increase Costs       Decrease Costs         Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         I. Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers       Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers.227.137(3)(b)(1).       \$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)         10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	None			
Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)       Specific Businesses/Sectors         State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)         9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).         \$ 0 (No implementation and compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule				
<ul> <li>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</li> <li>State's Economy</li> <li>Specific Businesses/Sectors</li> <li>Local Government Units</li> <li>Public Utility Rate Payers</li> <li>Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</li> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	☑ No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs			
<ul> <li>State's Economy</li> <li>Specific Businesses/Sectors</li> <li>Local Government Units</li> <li>Public Utility Rate Payers</li> <li>Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</li> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)</li> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	Indeterminate     Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget			
<ul> <li>Local Government Units</li> <li>Public Utility Rate Payers</li> <li>Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</li> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)</li> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) State of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers.227.137(3)(b)(1). O(No implementation and compliance cost anticipated) I0. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	□ State's Economy □ Spec	Specific Businesses/Sectors			
<ul> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)</li> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	Local Government Units     Public	Public Utility Rate Payers			
<ul> <li>\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)</li> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over</li> </ul>	Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).				
	\$ 0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)				
Any z-year renou, pers. zz7.137(3)(b)(z)?					

11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

Per federal regulations, states within the Mississippi Flyway may utilize two season splits during the regular Canada goose season. Traditionally, the department elected to utilize only a single season split. That changed in 2020, when data gathered through public input processes demonstrated majority support for adding a second split in the South Zone Canada goose season to extend the season over the Christmas and the New Year's holidays when people have time off and could take advantage of this additional hunting opportunity. This second split closed the Canada goose season for 15 days at the same time the South Zone duck season closed, then reopened the goose season in the South Zone for an additional 16 days.

For 2021, public input favored the continued use of two splits. Additionally, the public indicated support for increasing the Canada Goose bag limit to 5 geese/day during the third period over the holidays in the South Canada Goose Zone. From a harvest perspective, 90% of all Canada goose harvest occurs before December 1, and harvest analysis indicates that 80% of the Canada geese harvested in December are comprised of Wisconsin's own local temperate breeding Canada geese, so the department is not averse to adjusting the bag limit in the month of December as very few hunters participate during this time, and it will have a very minimal impact on overall harvest.

This rule proposes to maintain an earlier start date for the North Zone duck season that was implemented in 2020. This change was based on input collected from conservation organizations as well as the public via the waterfowl hunters survey, emails, phone calls, and public hearings in 2018 and 2019. This input showed hunters preferring a later opening date in the North Zone. This shift in public preference may have been influenced by the manner in which dates occurred within the annual calendar and the fact that the three autumns prior to 2018 were relatively mild. Wisconsin had the second coldest November on record during 2018 and an early winter in the North in both 2019 and 2020, which resulted in weeks of lost hunting opportunity. Input from conservation groups and the public in 2020 shifted back to a desire to start on the Saturday nearest September 24 from the previous date which was the Saturday nearest October 1st. Maintaining this earlier season start date has majority public support for 2021.

The proposed reconfiguration of migratory game bird zones and related duck season frameworks is based on public input and feedback from conservation partners. Public input favored the creation of a new Open Water Zone to allow hunters the ability to take advantage of late season opportunities in waters greater than 500 feet from the mainland shore on Green Bay and Lake Michigan. The creation of this new zone would result in the elimination of the Mississippi Zone. The feedback and input supported a two week later start than the South Zone and no split. This is the first year of this zone and the department will likely learn a lot from our hunters when we approach the season setting process next year on how to best structure the season in the future.

Under the federal framework for duck hunting, the USFWS is allowing Wisconsin a 60-day restrictive scaup season. This allows no more than one scaup per day for 15 days and two scaup per day for 45 days however, this restriction can be specific to each duck hunting zone. The scaup bag limit was similar in 2020 and three per day during the 2019 season.

Additionally, the USFWS is allowing Wisconsin a 70-day consecutive rail, snipe, and common gallinule (moorhen) season. Similar to the 2020 season, the department is proposing to open the season on September 1 and continue for 70 consecutive days which occurs during a period when hunters are most likely to be able to harvest these species. This start date is consistent with the early teal, early goose, and mourning dove season start date.

12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.

A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website in August 2020. No fiscal effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments are anticipated.

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.

A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis were posted on the department's website during a 10 day period in August 2020.

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments are anticipated. The department does not anticipate any fiscal impacts as a result of these rules. Following is the department's preliminary analysis.

#### Economic Impact

Because the hunting season framework proposed in this rule will be very similar to those in place during previous seasons, no economic impacts are anticipated. We do not anticipate that these rules will result in changes in the activities of migratory bird hunters, their expenditures, and the related economic activity. These rules are applicable to individual hunters and impose no compliance or reporting requirements for small business, nor are any design or operational standards contained in the rule.

#### Fiscal Impact

The department anticipates no fiscal impact resulting from these rules. The department currently annually conducts a number of activities related to migratory bird hunting such as managing department lands, selling licenses, providing law enforcement services, and surveys and related research. The department will continue to conduct the same activities under the season framework proposed in this rule and does not anticipate any new or reduced expenditures.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

By implementing this rule, Wisconsin's migratory bird hunters will continue to enjoy excellent hunting opportunities. The expenditures of migratory bird hunters and the economic impacts resulting from their expenditures will continue to benefit the state's economy.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Implementing these rules will have little impact on the public except that they will continue to have good hunting opportunities into the future.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Migratory game bird hunting is regulated by the United States Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), in 50 CFR part 20. Under international treaty and Federal law, migratory game bird seasons are closed unless opened annually through the USFWS regulations process. As part of the federal rule process, the service annually evaluates migratory game bird populations and breeding habitat in cooperation with state provincial agencies and the Canadian Wildlife Service. After considering recommendations from the flyway councils of states and the guidance of cooperatively developed harvest strategies, the USFWS establishes annual frameworks within flyway or bird populations regions. States can then establish hunting seasons within the sideboards for each species and region.

None of the provisions of this rule conflict with the federal framework.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) The department establishes migratory game bird hunting seasons based on a federal framework that is presented to Wisconsin by the US Fish & Wildlife Service. Because of the federal guidelines, Wisconsin's regulations are similar to those in neighboring states

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Scott Karel, Wildlife Regulation Policy Specialist,	608-267-2452

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

# ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No