# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	October 6, 2021
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghous	se Number if applicable)
Chapter Tax 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 14 – 2019 Biennial Review	
4. Subject	
The proposed rule creates, amends, and repeals various administra as identified in a report submitted by the Joint Committee for Review The proposed rule implements certain statutory changes and also re JCRAR report.	of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) on March 26, 2019.
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	
<ul> <li>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</li> <li>No Fiscal Effect</li> <li>Increase Existing Revenues</li> <li>Indeterminate</li> <li>Decrease Existing Revenues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> </ul>
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	ific Businesses/Sectors
	c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
\$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	I Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or
Yes     No       11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
The department has created this proposed rule order to reflect vario report submitted to the JCRAR on March 26, 2019. The proposed ru	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Re Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were	
A comment period was identified for economic impact and the public September 16, 2021, through September 30, 2021. No specific busi	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De	velopment of this EIA.
No local government units participated in the development of this El	۹.
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole Expected to be Incurred)	
No impact is anticipated.	

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

New rules are being proposed to reflect various law and technical changes. If the rules are not changed, they will be incorrect in that they will not reflect current law or current department policy.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name

Jen Olson

20. Contact Phone Number 608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

### ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

#### FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2019	Sess	ion
------	------	-----

☑ ORIGINAL       □ UPDATED       INTRODUCTION #         □ CORRECTED       □ SUPPLEMENTAL       Admin. Rule #         Subject         Various changes to Ch. Tax 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 14         Fiscal Effect			LKB# 19-	
Subject Various changes to Ch. Tax 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 14	🛛 ORIGINAL 🗌 UPDATED	,	INTRODUCTION #	
Various changes to Ch. Tax 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 14	CORRECTED SUPPLEM	ENTAL	Admin. Rule #	
	Subject			
Fiscal Effect		< 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9	9, and 14	
State: 🕅 No State Fiscal Effect	Fiscal Effect State: M No State Fiscal Effect			
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a	Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a		Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb	
Sum sufficient appropriation Within Agency's Budget  Ves  No	sum sufficient appropriation			
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues	Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existing	g Revenues	
Decrease Existing Appropriation     Decrease Existing Revenues				
Create New Appropriation     Decrease Costs	Create New Appropriation			Decrease Costs
Local: X No Local Government Costs	Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs			
1.       Increase Costs       3.       Increase Revenues       5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:	1. 🔲 Increase Costs	3. 🗆 Increase F	Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
Permissive Mandatory     Permissive Mandatory     Towns     Villages     Cities	🛛 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	D Permiss	sive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities
2.     Decrease Costs     4.     Decrease Revenues     Counties     Others	2. Decrease Costs	4. 🛛 Decrease	Revenues	Counties Others
Permissive Mandatory     Permissive Mandatory     School Districts WTCS Districts	🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	D Permis	sive 🗆 Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected         Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations	Fund Sources Affected	,L	Affected Ch. 20	Appropriations
			6	

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule creates, amends, and repeals various administrative rules related to income, franchise, and excise taxes as identified in a report submitted by the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) on March 26, 2019. The proposed rule implements certain statutory changes and also repeals certain outdated rule provisions as identified in the JCRAR report.

Any fiscal effect from the statutory changes implemented in the proposed rule would have been included in the fiscal estimate for the bill which was enacted. As such, there is no fiscal effect from the implementation of the statutes under the rule. In addition, there is no fiscal effect from the repeal of the outdated provisions in the proposed rule.

(continued on page two)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

None

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Robert Schmidt	Date
<b>Wisconsin Department of Revenue</b> Michael Oakleaf		April 8, 2020
(608) 261-5173	(608) 266-5773	

### FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

ORIGINAL

□ CORRECTED

Subject

Various changes to Ch. Tax 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 14

□ SUPPLEMENTAL

UPDATED

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fis cal effect):

LRB # 19

INTRODUCTION

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs		-	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ -	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	

#### NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	STATE	<u>L</u>	OCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REV ENUES	\$	\$	
Agency/Prepared by:	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.		Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Michael Oakleaf	Robert Schmidt		April 8, 2020
(608)261-5173	(608)266-5773		, prii 0, 2020