

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 22 October 2021
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 160.92	
4. Subject County and District Fairs	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to complete a comprehensive review of Chapter 160 and make revisions to ensure the chapter is statutorily compliant, allows for technology, and current with industry standards and practices.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Wisconsin Association of Fairs was contacted for comments. This rule was posted on the Department website for 14 days to obtain economic impact comments and none were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementation is to have rules that better align with statute, reflect current practices and provide clearer requirements for fairs receiving state aid. The alternative to implementing the rule is to have rules which are not in compliance with 2015 Wisconsin Act 207 and are out of date with today's technology and industry standards. The failure to allow electronic technology to be utilized creates inconvenience for judges and fair organizations. Currently there is confusion regarding interpretation of some requirements, including reporting submissions, and revising the rule provides clarity. The failure to update the uniform premium list to current industry standards creates burdens for those who participate or judge in multiple fairs.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is clear and concise rules.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois appropriates state funds to pay premium aids to county fairs. By the end of the previous year, a county fair is required to file a Declaration of Intention with an approximation of premium amounts to be offered. Illinois does not establish a uniform premium list. Illinois also appropriates funds for shows approved by the State 4-H Office. If 4-H shows take place at a county fair, the projects must be shown and judged separately from the junior and open classes at the county fair.
Iowa allocates state funds to the Association of Iowa Fairs for distribution. The state aid must be used exclusively for capital expenditures relating to the acquisition of land for fairgrounds and improvements of the fairgrounds.
Michigan does not provide state aid to fairs.
Minnesota authorizes counties to appropriate funds to county agricultural societies for county fairs.

19. Contact Name Sharon Henes	20. Contact Phone Number (608) 381-2808
----------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-