

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date November 16, 2021
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) LIRC 1 through LIRC 4	
4. Subject Labor and Industry Review Commission Procedure	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GPR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.427(1)(a), (k), (km), (m) and (ra)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule No actual policy problem. The proposed rule pertains only to procedure before the commission. It does not establish regulatory standards and includes no substantive or interpretative provisions. The proposed rule updates addresses, phone numbers, and web addresses that have changed since the rule was last modified. It also reflects changes to where petitions for review may be filed in worker's compensation cases due to an amendment to Wis. Stat. § 102.18(3) in 2015 Wis. Act 55, Section 2831d, and in unemployment insurance and worker classification compliance cases due to an amendment to Wis. Stat. § 108.09(6) in 2015 Wis. Act 334, Section 50. It also modifies a provision dealing with remands in cases of compromises in worker's compensation made necessary by amendments to Wis. Stat. §§ 102.18 (4)(d) by Wis. Act. 55, Sections 2843d, and 2847d.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The commission has posted its proposed rules and scope statement on its website since November 22, 2021, and solicited comments but has received none. It believes the proposed minor changes to its procedural rules will not affect businesses, business sectors, local governmental units, or individuals.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
Will bring agency procedural rules in compliance with state statutes and lessen the possibility for confusion or error by persons filing petition for review with the LIRC.

The alternative is to do nothing.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
Will lessen the possibility for confusion or error by persons filing petition for review with the LIRC.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
Not applicable

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The proposed rules are similar to procedural rules governing worker's compensation procedures in neighboring states.

19. Contact Name Anita J. Krasno	20. Contact Phone Number 608 266-5700
-------------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-