

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
DCF 12, 13, 39, 40, 50, 52, 55, 56, 58, and 59

3. Subject
Technical corrections and minor updates to child welfare rules

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected Wis. Stat. s. 20.437 (1) (js)
--	--

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
Tribal families do not have equal access to long-term kinship care, which is an important safeguard for children.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
Directors of county human and social services agencies and kinship care coordinators in all counties and tribes

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
No comments were received.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
Families who are subject to a tribal court order will be eligible for long term kinship care and will receive economic support to care for their relative child.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
This rule change brings DCF 58 into alignment with what is permitted under s. 48.57 (3n), Stats., allows families subject to a tribal court order equal access to this important safeguard for children, and eliminates the inequities to children in the current rule.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
None

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
The federal Family First Prevention Services Act of 2018 requires a background check for all workers in congregate care facilities, including residential care centers for children and youth, group homes, and shelter care facilities.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Not applicable

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
------------------	--------------------------

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Elaine Pridgen

| (608) 422-7077

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The rules have no economic impact on small businesses. The changes affecting small businesses are technical corrections and minor updates.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-