## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date			
Original Updated Corrected	1/26/2022			
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Numl PI 34, Educator licenses	ber if applicable)			
4. Subject				
Tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored licenses with stipulations				
	pter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S				
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule				
	rease Costs			
	uld Absorb Within Agency's Budget			
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Busi	in an an a factor			
X State's Economy     Specific Businesses/Sectors     Decal Government Units     Decal Governmen				
Local Coveniment Onits     A different of the original Public Onity Rate Payers     D Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Gove	rnm ental Units and Individuals, per s.227.137 (3) (b) 1., Stats			
\$0				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Gov	vernmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more			
Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.? □ Yes				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
	isconsin Administrative Code with respect to the			
The objective of the proposed rule is to amend ch. PI 34 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code with respect to the department's Tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored license with stipulations. The revisions include but are not				
limited to the following clarifications:				
	1. The written evidence required by the state superintendent for approval under s. PI 34.029 (2) (c) and (5) (a) and			
<ul><li>(b).</li><li>2. The length of time after the expiration of the license within which an individual must submit written evidence</li></ul>				
for approval.				
3. The validity of the license if the applicant leaves the employ				
4. The ability to issue another Tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored license with stipulations to an applicant if				
requested by another school district.				
5. The use of national standards or content guidelines in the department's review process for issuing Tier II or III licenses				
licenses. 6. Early completion of a Tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored license with stipulations requirements and				
relationship to a Tier III license.				
7. Timelines for submission of required materials for advancement to a Tier II or III license.				
8. The status of the license in the year of experience in the employing district, CESA, or residential school.				
<ol> <li>The ability to receive a Tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored license with stipulations to teach alternative education under s. PI 34.077.</li> </ol>				
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representi	ing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals			
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments				
The department held a preliminary public hearing and comment period on the scope statement for the proposed rule.				
No comments were received to be considered in the development of this economic impact analysis.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Developme				

None.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14.	Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local
	Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be
	Incurred)

State: None.

Local: The proposed rule creates clarity around the rules governing the licensure of individuals seeking a tier I, 3year school district-sponsored license with stipulations. The effect of the proposed rule will ensure greater transparency for applicants seeking licensure with this pathway. The proposed rule does not change existing requirements for school districts surrounding supports for educators seeking licensure through this pathway. Therefore, there is no fiscal impact on local school districts as a result of the proposed rule.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Implementing the proposed changes in the rule will create additional clarification and transparency for license applicants, specifically with respect to licensing requirements, licensing processes, and application timelines for the tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored license with stipulations. Without a rule change, the department will be required to implement rules for educator licensure as they currently exist in PI 34 and applicants for licensure with this pathway may face unclear guidance on license requirements as a result.

## 16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule clarifies ch. PI 34 with respect to the licensing requirements, licensing processes, and application timelines for applicants for tier I, 3-year school district-sponsored licensure with stipulations.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Under 34 CFR § 300.156(c)(2)(i)(C), teachers who are not fully licensed are prohibited from teaching special education students for more than three years.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) There are no comparable rules governing school district-sponsored licensure in adjacent states.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.