ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	March 18, 2022
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearing)	nouse Number if applicable)
Chapter Tax 3 – Active Duty Military Pay	
4. Subject	
The proposed rule provides definitions required to administer the subtraction for active-duty militarypay. The department is provid well as providing a description of eligible payfor purposes of the	ing definitions for "active duty" and "U.S. armed forces", as
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Decrease Costs
	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	becific Businesses/Sectors
	ublic Utility Rate Payers
	mall Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
 Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Li 227.137(3)(b)(1). 	ocal Governmental Onits and Individualis, per S.
\$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, L more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	ocal Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
There is no current policy relating to the subtraction from income year 2021 as adopted in 2021 Wisconsin Act 58. Due to differing guidance as to whether certain pay is taxable or nontaxable to W	definitions in the US Code, military servicemembers need
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that w	s Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and ere Contacted for Comments.
A comment period was identified for economic impact and the pu 28, 2022, through March 14, 2022. No specific businesses were	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the	Development of this EIA.
No local government units participated in the development of this	s EIA.
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific I Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Wh Expected to be Incurred)	Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, nole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs
No impact is anticipated.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Imple	ementing the Rule

2021 Wisconsin Act 58 created a subtraction for active duty military pay. Active duty is not defined in the statutes. The rule needs to be created to define active duty so it is clear which military pay is eligible for the subtraction.

1

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
No long range implications are anticipated.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Govern	nment	
There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Gover	mment.	
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
The department is not aware of a similar rule in an adjacent st	ate.	
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number	
Jen Olson	608-266-8253	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

 \Box Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

3

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM		2021 Session
	LRB#	
ORIGINAL UPDATED	INTRODUCTI	ON #
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #	to be assigned
Subject Create Chapter Tax 3.045 to Imple	ement 2021 Act 58	
Fiscal Effect		
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill make sum sufficient appropriation	s a direct appropriation or affects	a ☐ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No
Increase Existing Appropriation	crease Existing Revenues	
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation	ecrease Existing Revenues	
Create New Appropriation	0	Decrease Costs
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs		
1. Increase Costs 3.	Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
Dermissive Mandatory	🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	🗆 Towins 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities
2. Decrease Costs 4.	Decrease Revenues	Counties Others
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch	. 20 Appropriations
GPR FED PRO PRS SE	ig □ seg-s	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estima	ate:	

The proposed rule provides definitions required to administer the provisions of 2021 Wisconsin Act 58 related to a new subtraction for active-duty military pay. The department is providing definitions for "active duty" and "U.S. armed forces", as well as providing a description of eligible pay for purposes of the subtraction. The rule is intended to reflect and clarify existing law and does not impose any additional fiscal impact.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by:	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Wisconsin Department of Revenue	
Bradley Caruth	Michael Oakleaf	1/13/2022
(608) 261-8984	(608) 261-5173	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

\boxtimes	ORIGINAL	UPDA ⁻

UPDATED

□ CORRECTED □ SUPPLEMENTAL

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect 2	iscal Effect 2021 Session	
LRB#	Admin. Rule #	
INTRODUCTION	to be assigned	

Subject: Create Chapter Tax 3.045 to Implement 2021 Act 58

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

STATE		LOCAL
\$ O	\$0	
\$ 0	\$0	
Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Michael Oakleaf (608) 261-5173		Date 1/13/2022
	\$ 0 \$ 0 Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wis cons in Department of Revenue	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 Authorized Signature/Telephone No. \$ 0 Wisconsin Department of Revenue Michael Oakleaf