ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □ Corrected	2. Date 04/12/22	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.86		
4. Subject Scheduling Fospropofol		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes ☑ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule On October 6, 2009, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its final rule in the Federal Register listing Fospropofol into schedule IV of the federal Controlled Substances Act.		
 12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rules were posted for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received. 		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion. In addition it is in the best interest of Wiscsonsin citizens to schedule Fospropofol as a controlled substance.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule will be to schedule Fospropofol as a schedule IV controlled substance.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled Fospropofol as a schedule IV controlled substance.		
 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has listed Fospropofol a schedule IV controlled substance [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/210 (c) (11.1)]. 		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa has listed Fospropofol a schedule IV controlled substance [Iowa Administrative Code 124.210 (3) (ba)].

Michigan: Michigan has not scheduled Fospropofol as a controlled substance.

Minnesota: Minnesota has listed Fospropofol a schedule IV controlled substance [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (5) (c) (24)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No