STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		2. Date 04/20/22		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)				
CSB 2.90				
4. Subject Transferring 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile, immediate precursors to phencyclidine, also known as PCP				
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter	20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S				
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	I			
☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase	e Costs		
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could A	osorb Within Agency's Budget		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors				
	ic Utility Rate			
☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca	al Governmer	ntal Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?				
☐ Yes ☒ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
On May 17, 1978, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its final rule in the Federal				
Register listing 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile, immediate precursors to				
phencyclidine, also known as PCP, into schedule II of the federal Controlled Substances Act.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals				
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rules were posted for a period of 14 days to solicit, public comment on accommis impact, including how the				
The proposed rules were posted for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.				
<u> </u>				
 Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None. 				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
None.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementation	enting the Ru	le		
The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion. In addition it is in				
the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to transfer 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile,				
immediate precursors to phencyclidine, also known as PCP, as a controlled substance.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule				
The long range implications of implementing the rule will be to transfer 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-				
piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile, immediate precursors to phencyclidine, also known as PCP, as a schedule II controlled substance.				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government				
The federal government has transferred 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile, immediate				
precursors to phencyclidine, also known as PCP, as a schedule II controlled substance.				

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has listed 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile as a schedule II controlled substances [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 520/206 (f) (2)].

Iowa: Iowa has listed 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile as a schedule II controlled substances [Iowa Administrative Code 124.206 (6) (b)].

Michigan: Michigan has not scheduled 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile as controlled substances.

Minnesota: Minnesota has listed 1-phenylcyclohexylamine and 1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile as a schedule II controlled substances [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (3) (e) (6)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No