## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
		March 1, 2022		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter NR 162 - Clean Water Fund Program				
4. Subject				
Rules governing the implementation of the Clean Water Fund Program, which provides financial assistance to				
municipalities for wastewater and storm water infrastructure projects				
5. Fund Sources Affected     6. Chapter       □ GPR     □ FED     ☑ PRO     □ PRS     □ SEG     □ SEG-S     n/a		20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule				
No Fiscal Effect   Increase Existing Revenues		□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs		
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Ab	osorb Within Agency's Budget		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors				
Image: Second Government Units Image: Second Government Units				
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).				
\$0 - Any increase in application costs would be eligible for coverage by the program loans.				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?				
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
Proposed rule changes will streamline processes for awarding financial assistance to municipalities under the Clean				
Water Fund Program. These include more clearly defining eligible and ineligible projects, codifying application				
submissions through the department's online system, modifying loan terms in accordance with statutory changes,				
clarifying required documentation, adjusting the manner in which financial assistance agreements are processed, and				
other changes to provide consistency with federal program requirements and recent statutory changes.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
The following consulting engineers and municipalities served on an External Advisory Team for NR 162 code revisions:				
Strand Associates, Inc.; Town & Country Engineering, Inc.; MSA Professional Services; Racine Water & Wastewater				
Utilities; the City of Brookfield; the City of Horicon; and the Village of Valders.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.				
None up until now. Local governments were part of the department's external advisory committee.				
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
The rule will have minimal effects on businesses or municipalities. Participation in the Clean Water Fund Program is				
voluntary and general eligibility criteria are already prescribed by the federal Clean Water Act. The costs of obtaining				
funding are prescribed by state statutes. The proposed rule revisions will simplify and clarify protocols and				
administrative processes but do not affect the overall ability of municipalities to seek and obtain financing or the interest				
rates of that financing. Any added costs to consulting businesses assisting with applications can be passed on to the				
municipality and in turn can be coverd by the program loans.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule				

By clarifying criteria and streamlining processes for the program, there will be fewer applicant questions, and process

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

times may be shortened for both applicants (i.e., municipalities and their consultants) and DNR staff. Without the rule revisions, the department will need to interpret clumsy or outdated language to ensure compliance with federal regulations and guidelines and recently amended state statutes.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The rule revisions will allow the department to remain in compliance with federal program requirements, be consistent with Wisconsin statutes, and more efficiently administer the Clean Water Fund Program.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

All states must comply with the federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S. Code, Subchapter VI), of which the Clean Water State Revolving Fund is a part. Wisconsin's implementation approach to the Clean Water State Revolving Fund through the Clean Water Fund Program has remained relatively basic since its inception.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

All states must comply with the federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S. Code, Subchapter VI; sections 33 USC 1381 to 1388). Neighboring states implement the Clean Water State Revolving Fund with minor differences, adjusted to meet state-specific needs. Several of these states have more sophisticated programs than Wisconsin; Wisconsin's implementation approach has remained relatively basic.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jeanne Cargill	608-436-6080

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will have no fiscal or economic effect on small businesses. The proposed changes do not modify the federal requirement that recipients of Clean Water Fund Program funds must be in compliance with DBE requirements.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

n/a

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

 $4. \ {\rm Describe \ the \ methods \ incorporated \ into \ the \ Rule \ that \ will \ reduce \ its \ impact \ on \ Small \ Businesses$ 

n/a

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

There are no enforcement provisions with respect to environmental regulations associated with this rule. Small businesses are not regulated by the rule. The rule already includes provisions that require municipal recipients of Clean Water Fund Program loans to have DNR-approved plans and specifications and to have the financial means to repay the loans.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes ⊠ No