ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated □Corrected	05/05/2022	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DHS 109		
4. Subject SeniorCare Prescription Drugs		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.435 (4) (bv) and (4) (pg), Stats.	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☑ Increase Costs ☑ Decrease Costs ☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes ☑ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule In 2019 Wisconsin Act 185, the Wisconsin Legislature expanded the definition of a "prescription drug" covered under the SeniorCare program to include designated vaccinations. Current rules exclude vaccinations from the prescription drug definition. Expansion of rules to provide for adequate regulation of SeniorCare covered vaccination is needed, including coverage scope, reimbursement and deductible guideline establishment and record maintenance.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. SeniorCare providers		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule changes have the potential to impact SeniorCare providers. SeniorCare providers have the opportunity to provide designated vaccinations as a covered "prescription drug."		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule There are no reasonable alternatives to the proposed rulemaking. The Wisconsin Legislature has directed the department to expand the definition of prescription drug to include designated vaccinations under the SeniorCare program. Current rules exclude vaccinations from the prescription drug definition. Expansion of rules to provide for adequate regulation of SeniorCare covered vaccination is needed, including coverage scope, reimbursement and deductible guideline establishment and record maintenance.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed rule changes expand SeniorCare coverage to permanently include designated vaccinations as covered "prescription drugs."		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The SeniorCare program is authorized through a s. 1115(a) of the Social Security Act waiver approved by the federal Department of Health and Human Services.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois:

Not applicable – Illinois does not offer a state pharmaceutical assistance program dedicated to similar population and services to the SeniorCare program population.

Iowa:

Not applicable – Iowa does not offer a state pharmaceutical assistance program dedicated to similar population and services to the SeniorCare program population.

Michigan:

Not applicable – Michigan does not offer a state pharmaceutical assistance program dedicated to similar population and services to the SeniorCare program population.

Minnesota:

Not applicable – Minnesota does not offer a state pharmaceutical assistance program dedicated to similar population and services to the SeniorCare program population.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Bailey Dvorak	608-267-5210

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule changes have the potential to impact SeniorCare providers including pharmacies that are small businesses. These providers have the opportunity to provide designated vaccinations as covered services "prescription drugs," which expands services covered by SeniorCare.

2. Summaryof the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses The department solicited the input of SeniorCare providers, including small businesses, as required by state and federal law.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

None

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The proposed rule changes will be enforced through standard SeniorCare program claim review and auditing activities.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No