ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected	November 17, 2022	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter $DWD\ 65$		
4. Subject Order Of Selection For Vocational Rehabilitation Services		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.445 (5) (a) and (n)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	🛛 Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Users Bublic Lifety Date Dayser		
Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or m ore Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014 (WIOA), Public Law 113-128, authorizes state agencies to provide services and equipment to an individual for maintaining employment regardless of the individual's category		
under a wait list for vocational rehabilitation services that is called an order of selection. The Department of Workforce		
Development (Department) promulgated Clearinghouse Rule CR 22-008 to make various changes to its vocational		
rehabilitation rules, including allowing the Department's Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR) to exercise that		
authority. Subsequently, the Rehabilitative Services Administration (RSA) of the US Department of Education		
recommended to DVR staff that Clearinghouse Rule 22-008 should be revised so that the authority should apply only to		
individuals in immediate risk of losing employment. The revision is necessary to meet the intent of federal regulations. This rule makes the revision recommended by RSA.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Department posted the statement of scope (SS 084-21) for this rule and Clearinghouse Rule CR 22-008 for 14 days		
to solicit public comment on economic impact. No comments were received. In drafting this rule and Clearinghouse		
Rule CR 22-008, the Department obtained the advice of the Wisconsin Rehabilitation Council.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.		
None.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
There is no fiscal impact associated with this rule.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
Implementing this rule will help ensure the Department's eligibility for federal vocational rehabilitation grants. The		
policy alternative is to disregard the RSA's recommendation and thereby jeopardize the Department's eligibility for the		
federal grants.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Other than the benefits described above, there are no long-range implications for implementing the rule.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The RSA makes vocational rehabilitation grants to state agencies under the federal Rehabilitation Act, 29 USC 720 to 751. Federal regulations implementing the grant program are set forth at 34 CFR Part 361. To be eligible for the grants, a state must have a state plan that is approved by the RSA. 29 USC 721 (a) (1) (A). See also 34 CFR 361.2. If vocational rehabilitation services cannot be provided to all eligible individuals, the state plan must include an order of selection that ensures that individuals with the most significant disabilities are selected first to receive the services. 29 USC 721 (a) (5) (C). WIOA added a provision to the Rehabilitation Act that authorizes a state, at its discretion, to serve eligible individuals, whether or not they are receiving vocational rehabilitation services, who require specific services or equipment to maintain employment. 29 USC 721 (a) (5) (D); 34 CFR 361.36 (a) (3) (v). In the preamble to regulations implementing WIOA, the RSA stated that this authority applies to individuals at immediate risk of losing employment. 81 Federal Register 55667 (August 16, 2016).

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Order of selection rules in Illinois and Minnesota do not address providing services or equipment for maintaining employment to individuals regardless of their category under an order of selection waiting list. See Ill. Admin. Code title 89, ss. 553.130 and 553.140; Minn. Rules, parts 3300.5010, 3300.5030, and 3325.0135. However, Minnesota State Services for the Blind in the Department of Employment and Economic Development has issued guidance specifying that job retention services are available to individuals who are at risk of losing employment.

Iowa's order of selection rules include a mechanism for providing vocational rehabilitation services and goods for maintaining employment to an individual on an order of selection wait list who is at immediate risk of job loss. See Iowa Admin. Code 281-56.3 (259) (defining "job retention waiting list") and 281-56.6 (1) c. (making job retention services available to eligible individuals).

Michigan does not appear to have any order of selection rules.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Meredith Dressel	(608) 405-4615

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)