STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FNANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis | 2. Date | |
|--|---|--|
| ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected | August 28, 2023 | |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chs NR 8, 10, 11, 12,17, 18, 19, and 27, Wis. Admin. Code | | |
| 4. Subject The 2021 Bureau of Wildlife Management housekeeping rule | | |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected 6. GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected | |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ | Increase Costs Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | | |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1). | | |
| \$0 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☑ No | | |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule These rule changes are proposed to update administrative code language to correct inconsistencies, update outdated language, and provide clarification when appropriate. The proposed changes are primarily remedial in nature. This rule package will amend regulations for hunting and use of department properties found in Ch's. NR 8, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19 and 27. | | |
| 12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis was posted on the department's website in April 2023 for fourteen days. No comments were received during the open comment period. No fiscal effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments are anticipated. | | |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None at this time. Local Government units are not anticipated to be impacted by this rule. | | |
| 14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | | |
| These rules, and the legislation which grants the department rule making authority, do not have a fiscal effect on the private sector or small businesses. These rules are applicable to individual sportspersons and impose no compliance or reporting requirements for small business, nor are any design or operational standards contained in the rule | | |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule These proposals will generally contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities. | | |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of this rule proposal will be the same as the short term impacts. These proposals will generally contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities. | | |

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FNANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
States possess inherent authority to manage the wildlife resources located within their boundaries, except insofar as preempted by federal treaties and laws, including regulations established in the Federal Register. None of these rule changes violate or conflict with the provisions established in the Federal Code of Regulations.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) These rule change proposals do not represent significant policy changes and do not differ significantly from surrounding states. All surrounding states have regulations and rules in place for the management and recreational use of wild game and use of department properties that are established based on needs that are unique to that state's resources and public desires.

| 19. Contact Name | 20. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Scott Karel | 608-206-0222 |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.