ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected		2. Date		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) $CSB\ 2.96$				
4. Subject Scheduling Amineptine				
5. Fund Sources Affected		6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
	s s. 20.165	s. 20.165 (1) (g) and (hg)		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_	_		
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues				
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues The Rule Will Import the Following (Check All That Apple)		bsorb Within Agency's Budget		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
•	ublic Utility Rate			
	-	s (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses,				
\$0				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over				
Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule On November 17, 2022, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its interim final rule in				
the Federal Register listing Amineptine into schedule I of the federal Controlled Substances Act. The scheduling action is effective December 19, 2022.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals				
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
The rule was posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including				
how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be				
Incurred) This rule aligns Wisconsin statute with federal scheduling and classifies Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance.				
DSPS estimates a total of \$3,500 in one-time staffing costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.1 limited				
term employee (LTE) is for rule drafting and communications necessary for implementation. The estimated costs may				
not be absorbed in the currently appropriated budget.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule				
The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are that Amineptine will be added to Wis. Stat. ch. 961 as a schedule I controlled substance.				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled Amineptine as schedule I controlled substance.				
1				

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/204].

Iowa: Iowa has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [Iowa Code 124.204].

Michigan: Michigan has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7212].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I con	trolled substance [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (2)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No