

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 12/5/2023
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DCF 101, Wisconsin Works and DCF 120, Emergency Assistance	
4. Subject Emergency Assistance program integrity	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$indeterminate	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule implements s. 49.138 (5), Stats.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The department solicited comments from all 8 Wisconsin Works agencies, Legal Action of Wisconsin, Kids Forward, and Wisconsin Community Action Program Association.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No comments were received from a local governmental unit.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Section 49.138(5), Stats., and the rule require the department to recover Emergency Assistance overpayments caused by an error made by the Wisconsin Works agency from the agency. This provision is not expected to have a noticeable fiscal impact on Wisconsin Works agencies.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule To implement s. 49.138 (5), Stats.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government A federal guidance document requires states to recover overpayments of Temporary Assistance to Needy Families funds.	
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Not applicable	

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

---

19. Contact Name Elaine Pridgen	20. Contact Phone Number (608) 442-7077
------------------------------------	--

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

None of the Wisconsin Works agencies is a small business.

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

NA

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes  No

---