STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

	<del>_</del>	
<ol> <li>Type of Estimate and Analysis</li> <li>Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected</li> </ol>	2. Date	
	01/24/24	
<ol> <li>Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)</li> <li>CSB 2.98</li> </ol>		
4. Subject Excluding [18F]FP-CIT		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g) and (hg)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors		
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers		
	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0	al Cayoram antal Unita and Individuala Da \$10 Million or mara Ovar	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐ Yes ☒ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
On November 21, 2022, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its final rule in the		
Federal Register removing [18 F]FP-CIT from schedule II of the federal Controlled Substances Act. The scheduling		
action is effective December 21, 2022.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
The rule will be posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact,		
including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. $N\!/\!A$		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule aligns Wisconsin statute with federal scheduling and removes [18F]FP-CIT as a schedule II controlled		
substance. DSPS estimates a total of \$3,500 in one-time staffing costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.1		
limited term employee (LTE) is for rule drafting and communications necessary for implementation. The estimated costs		
may not be absorbed in the currently appropriated budget.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
The long range implications of implementing the rule are that [18F] schedule II controlled substance.	FP-CIT will be explicitly excluded from Wis. Stat. ch. 961 as a	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	t	
The federal government has excluded [18F]FP-CIT as schedule II controlled substance.		

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

 $18. \ Compare\ With\ Approaches\ Being\ Used\ by\ Neighboring\ States\ (Illinois, lowa, Michigan\ and\ Minnesota)$ 

Illinois: Illinois has not excluded [18 F]FP-CIT from their schedule II controlled substances list [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/206].

Iowa: Iowa has not excluded [18 F]FP-CIT from their schedule II controlled substances list [Iowa Administrative Code s. 124.206].

Michigan: Michigan has not excluded [18 F]FP-CIT from their schedule II controlled substances list [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7214].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not excluded [18 F]FP-CIT from their schedule II controlled substances list [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (3)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements ☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
∐ Yes     ∐ No