## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghout	use Number if applicable)	
Chapter PI 34, Educator Licenses		
4. Subject		
Reading requirements for the educator preparation progra	m approval process	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
State's Economy   Specific Businesses/Sectors     Local Government Units   Public Utility Rate Payers		
Cocar Government onits Public offiny Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
	cal Governmental Units and Individuals, per s.227.137 (3) (b) 1., Stats	
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, L	ocal Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more	
Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	A of the Wissensin A dministrative Code with respect to	
The proposed rule is a technical change to amend ch. PI 3 program approval and licensing requirements due to the p		
program approval and licensing requirements due to the passage of 2023 Wisconsin Act 20. The proposed rule conforms the rule to statutory requirements which provide that educator preparation programs shall address the		
	reading instruction to be an approved preparation program	
and update the requirements for issuance of a license to al		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Re	epresenting Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals	
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted		
	nment period on the scope statement for the proposed rule. during the preliminary public hearing and comment period	
	impact analysis. However, no comments were received to	
be considered in the development of this economic impact		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De	velopment of this EIA	
None.		

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

State: None.

Local: The proposed rule change clarifies the rules governing the educator preparation program approval process with respect to the requirements under 2023 Wisconsin Act 20. Educator preparation programs may be expected to adjust their educational practices with respect to the requirements under the law. However, the impact to programs cannot be predicted at this time. Therefore, the local fiscal impact as a result of this rule change is indeterminate.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed rule amends ch. PI 34 with respect to the program approval process according to the requirements under s. 118.015 (1c), Wis. Stats. Without a rule change, the department would be required to implement ch. PI 34 as

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

the rules currently exist and the rule would not be in compliance with statute.

16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule change clarifies the rules governing the educator preparation program approval process with respect to the requirements under 2023 Wisconsin Act 20. Rule and statute will be consistent.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Because educator preparation in the United States is typically governed by each state and local government, federal regulations are generally silent with respect to the preparation of student teachers. As a result, the requirements for teacher preparation programs vary by state.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

**Illinois :** Illinois will develop a literacy plan around the Science of Learning by the end of January 2024, and a rubric by July 1, 2024. By January 1, 2025, the IL Board of Education will develop and make available training opportunities for educators in teaching reading that are aligned with the comprehensive literacy plan (IL Public Act 103-0402). The plan is still being developed, but it will address teacher preparation programs to amend course content to incorporate a greater emphasis on evidence-based reading instruction.

**Iowa:** The Iowa Department of Education offers a professional learning development course in the Science of Reading: Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling. According to the National Council on Teacher Quality, teacher preparation programs in Iowa do not require teacher preparation programs for elementary teacher candidates to address the science of reading. The standards in Iowa address phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension, but only list them with no detail. None of the teacher preparation programs adequately teach all five components of reading and they continue to provide instruction on content contrary to research-based practices. Iowa also does not require teacher candidates to pass an assessment that measures knowledge of scientifically based reading instruction prior to certification or at any point thereafter. (IAC 282-13.26(4))

**Michigan:** Michigan has moved away from three-cue teaching. Michigan recently enacted new elementary literacy standards for teacher preparation programs, therefore programs are in the process of aligning to these requirements. Under Mich. Admin. Code R. 390.1123 - Standard teaching certificate preparation program requirements, an applicant for a standard teaching certificate shall satisfactorily complete an approved program which meets the state board approved standards including addressing the five core components based on the science of reading. Michigan requires a licensing test that addresses reading.

**Minnesota:** The approved teacher preparation programs in Minnesota must implement the five pillars supported by the science of reading per Minnesota Statutes 2022, sect. 122A.092, (5). Under Minn. Stat. sect. 120B.1118 (4), this does not include three-cue teaching. Minnesota requires a licensing test that addresses reading prior to licensure.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.