STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected			2. Date	
			December 12, 2023	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Ch NR 12, WM-09-23				
4. Subject Wildlife damage and abatement.				
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SE		6. Chapter 2	0, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	S	☐ Increase ☐ Could Ab	Costs Decrease Costs sorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units	☐ Specif ☐ Public	ic Businesse Utility Rate I		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☒ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Current rules implement statutory requirements for wildlife damage and nuisance conflict management. These rules have been in place for decades. Recently the department along with impacted stakeholders undertook a comprehensive review of all of the rules related to wildlife damage and nuisance conflict management. The proposed rules are consistent with longstanding practices while making updates to provide better clarity for stakeholders and partners.				
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website in May 2024 and various interest groups may be contacted. No fiscal effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments are anticipated.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website during a 10 day period in May 2024 and various interest groups, including local governments, may be contacted.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
These rules, and the legislation which grants the depar private sector or small businesses. No costs to the private rules.	vate sect	tor or small	businesses are associated with compliance to	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule These proposals will contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of this rule proposal will be the same as the short term impacts. These proposals will contribute to				

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

in those activities.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

These rules are consistent with any federal regulations that guide wildlife damage management. Federal agencies also partner with the state in implementing wildlife damage and abatement programs.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) The US department of agriculture's Wildlife Services and US fish and wildlife service partner with the state in implementing wildlife damage and abatement programs in Minnesota and Illinois and these rules are generally similar with nuisance wildlife management in those states. USDA Wildlife Services does not partner with Michigan but their rules on wildlife conflict management are similar to that of Wisconsin.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Scott Karel	608-206-0222

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No