## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	2. Date 08/07/24	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) $CSB\ 2.005$		
4. Subject Scheduling 9 Fentanyl-Related Substances		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (hg)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
☑ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
5		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
•	ific Businesses/Sectors	
	c Utility Rate Payers	
🗌 Smal	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over		
Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to schedule the following nine fentanyl-related substances as a schedule I controlled		
	g mile remaining-related substances as a schedule r controlled	
substance under s. 961.11 (4), Stats:		
• Meta-fluorofentanyl		
Meta-fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl		
Para-methoxyfuranyl fentanyl		
• 3-furanyl fentanyl		
• 2',5'-dimethoxyfentanyl		
• Isovaleryl fentanyl		
Ortho-fluorofuranyl fentanyl		
<ul> <li>Alpha'-methyl butyryl fentanyl</li> </ul>		
Para-methylcyclopropyl fentanyl		
The Controlled Substances Board will promulgate a final rule, without making the determinations or findings required by ss. 961.11(1), (1m), (1r) and (2) or s. 961.13 and omitting the notice of proposed rulemaking, listing the above nine fentanyl-related substances as a schedule I controlled substance. The Affirmative Action order, dated January 24, 2024, took effect on February 5, 2024, upon publication in the Administrative Register and expires upon promulgation of a final rule.		

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.

<sup>12.</sup> Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.

The rule was posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

DSPS estimates no one-time or ongoing costs to implementing this rule.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid stakholder confusion.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing the rule are that the following will be Schedule I controlled substance in Wisconsin:

- Meta-fluorofentanyl
- Meta-fluoroisobutyryl fentanyl
- Para-methoxyfuranyl fentanyl
- 3-furanyl fentanyl
- 2',5'-dimethoxy fentanyl
- Isovaleryl fentanyl
- Ortho-fluorofuranyl fentanyl
- Alpha'-methyl butyryl fentanyl
- Para-methylcyclopropyl fentanyl.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

On December 7, 2023, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its temporary amendment and scheduling order in the Federal Register adding the nine fentanyl-related substances listed above to schedule I of the federal Controlled Substances Act. The scheduling action was effective December 7, 2023.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has not listed the nine fentanyl-related substances included in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/204].

Iowa: Iowa has not listed the nine fentanyl-related substances included in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [Iowa Code 124.204].

Michigan: Michigan has not listed the nine fentanyl-related substances included in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7212].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not listed the nine fentanyl-related substances included in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (2)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🛛 No